arXiv:0903.0641v3 [math.RA] 24 Jan 2010

The algebra of one-sided inverses of a polynomial algebra

V. V. Bavula

Abstract

We study in detail the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ in the title which is an algebra obtained from a polynomial algebra P_n in n variables by adding commuting, *left* (but not two-sided) inverses of the canonical generators of P_n. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is non-commutative and neither left nor right Noetherian but the set of its ideals satisfies the a.c.c., and the ideals *commute*. It is proved that the classical Krull dimension of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$; but the weak and the global dimensions of $\mathbb{S}_n$ are n. The prime and maximal spectra of $\mathbb{S}_n$ are found, and the simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules are classified. It is proved that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is central, prime, and *catenary*. The set $\mathbb{I}_n$ of idempotent ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is found explicitly. The set $\mathbb{I}_n$ is a finite distributive lattice and the number of elements in the set $\mathbb{I}_n$ is equal to the *Dedekind* number $\mathfrak{d}_n$.

Key Words: catenary algebra; the classical Krull, the weak, and the global dimensions; simple module, prime ideal.

Mathematics subject classification 2000: 16E10, 16G99, 16D25, 16D60.

Contents

1. Introduction.
2. The ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute and satisfy the a.c.c..
3. Classification of simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules.
4. The prime and maximal spectra of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.
5. Left or right Noetherian factor algebras of $\mathbb{S}_n$.
6. The weak and global dimensions of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.
7. Idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.

1 Introduction

Throughout, ring means an associative ring with 1; module means a left module; $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \ldots\}$ is the set of natural numbers; K is a field and K^* is its group of units; $P_n := K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial algebra over K; $\partial_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \partial_n := \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}$ are the partial derivatives (K-linear derivations) of P_n.

The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ (see the definition below) appear naturally when one wants to develop a *theory of one-sided localizations*. Let me give an example. Let $K[x]$ be a polynomial algebra in a variable x over the field K. When we invert the element x the resulting algebra $K[x, x^{-1}]$ has the same properties as $K[x]$. This is not the case when we invert the element x on *one side* only, say, on the left: $yx = 1$. Then the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1 := K\langle x, y \,|\, yx = 1\rangle$ has very different properties from the polynomial algebra $K[x]$. It is non-commutative, not left and right Noetherian, not a domain, it contains the ring of infinite dimensional matrices, etc. Moreover, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ has properties that are a mixture of the properties of the three algebras: $K[x_1]$, $K[x_1, x_2]$, and the *Weyl algebra* $A_1 := K\langle x, \partial \,|\, \partial x - x\partial = 1\rangle$ if $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$ (for example, as it is proved in the paper, the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ commute, and each proper ideal of $\mathbb{S}_1$ but one is a unique product of maximal ideals (counted with multiplicity), and the lattice of ideals is distributive; the classical Krull dimension of $\mathbb{S}_1$ is 2; the global homological dimension of $\mathbb{S}_1$ is 1; the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $\mathbb{S}_1$ is 2). The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a well-known primitive algebra [7], p. 35, Example 2. Over the field $\mathbb{C}$ of complex numbers, the completion of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is the *Toeplitz algebra* which is the $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra generated by a unilateral shift on the Hilbert space $l^2(\mathbb{N})$ (note that $y_1 = x_1^*$). The Toeplitz algebra is the universal $\mathbb{C}^*$-algebra generated by a proper isometry.

Definition. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ of one-sided inverses of P_n is an algebra generated over a field K by $2n$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \ldots, y_n$ that satisfy the defining relations:

$$y_1x_1 = \cdots = y_nx_n = 1, \;\; [x_i, y_j] = [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j,$$

where $[a, b] := ab - ba$, the commutator of elements a and b.

By the very definition, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is obtained from the polynomial algebra P_n by adding commuting, left (or right) inverses of its canonical generators. Clearly, $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n) \simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathbb{S}_1(i) := K\langle x_i, y_i \,|\, y_ix_i = 1\rangle \simeq \mathbb{S}_1$ and

$$\mathbb{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$$

where $x^\alpha := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, $y^\beta := y_1^{\beta_1} \cdots y_n^{\beta_n}$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ contains two polynomial subalgebras P_n and $Q_n := K[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ and is equal, as a vector space, to their tensor product $P_n \otimes Q_n$. The canonical generators x_i, y_j ($1 \leq i, j \leq n$) determine the ascending filtration $\{\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ on the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ in the obvious way (i.e. by the total degree of the generators): $\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i} := \bigoplus_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq i} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$ where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ ($\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq j} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i+j}$ for all $i, j \geq 0$). Then $\dim(\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}) = \binom{i+2n}{2n}$ for $i \geq 0$, and so the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is equal to $2n$. It is not difficult to show (Lemma 2.3) that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. Moreover, it contains infinite direct sums of left and right ideals.

Another (left and right) non-Noetherian algebras, so-called, the Jacobian algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ (introduced in [2]), appear as a localization *not* in the sense of Ore of the Weyl algebras A_n. The general construction, proposed by the author, is as follows: given an algebra A, an A-module M, and a set $S = \{a_i\}_{i\in I}$ of elements of the algebra A such that the maps $a_{i,M} : M \to M$, $m \mapsto a_im$, are invertible. The subalgebra $S_M^{-1} * A$ of $\mathrm{End}_K(M)$ generated by the image of the algebra A in $\mathrm{End}_K(M)$ and the elements $\{a_{i,M}^{-1}\}_{i\in I}$ can be seen as a new way of localizing the algebra A. Clearly, $S_M^{-1} * (S_M^{-1} * A) = S_M^{-1} * A$, and the factor algebra $A/\mathrm{ann}_A(M)$ of A modulo the annihilator $\mathrm{ann}_A(M)$ of the A-module M is a subalgebra of $S_M^{-1} * A$. In general, as the example of the Jacobian algebras $\mathbb{A}_n$ shows [2], the algebras A and $S_M^{-1} * A$ have different properties, and the localized algebra $S_M^{-1} * A$ is not a left or right localization of the algebra A in the sense of Ore.

Definition, [2]. When $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$, the *Jacobian algebra* $\mathbb{A}_n$ is the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ generated by the *Weyl algebra* $A_n := K\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n, \partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n\rangle$ and the elements $H_1^{-1}, \ldots, H_n^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ where $H_1 := \partial_1x_1, \ldots, H_n := \partial_nx_n$.

Clearly, $\mathbb{A}_n = \mathbb{A}_1(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{A}_1(n) \simeq \mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}$ where $\mathbb{A}_1(i) := K\langle x_i, \partial_i, H_i^{-1}\rangle \simeq \mathbb{A}_1$. The algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains all the integrations $\int_i : P_n \to P_n$, $p \mapsto \int p\, dx_i$, since $\int_i = x_iH_i^{-1}$. In particular, the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ contains all (formal) integro-differential operators with polynomial coefficients. The Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ appeared in my study of the group of polynomial automorphisms and the Jacobian Conjecture, which is a conjecture that makes sense *only* for polynomial algebras in the class of all commutative algebras [3]. In order to solve the Jacobian Conjecture, it is reasonable to believe that one should create a technique which makes sense *only* for polynomials; the Jacobian algebras are a step in this direction (they exist for polynomials but make no sense even for Laurent polynomials). The Jacobian algebras were studied in detail in [2]. Their relevance to $\mathbb{S}_n$ is the fact that $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{A}_n$ (Lemma 2.1), and this fact makes it possible to shorten proofs of several results on $\mathbb{S}_n$. Moreover, there are many parallels between these two classes of algebras.

Let us describe main results of this paper.

- (Proposition 4.1, Theorem 4.15) *The algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is central, prime, and catenary. Every nonzero ideal of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is an essential left and right submodule of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*.*
- (Proposition 4.3). *Let* A *be a* K*-algebra. Then the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ *is a prime algebra iff the algebra* A *is a prime algebra.*
- (Theorems 2.7 and 2.8). *The ideals of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *commute (*$IJ = JI$*), and the set of ideals of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *satisfy the a.c.c..*
- (Theorem 4.11) *The classical Krull dimension* $\mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is* $2n$*.*
- (Theorem 4.12) *For each ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*, the set* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ *of the minimal primes over* $\mathfrak{a}$ *is a finite, non-empty set.*
- (Theorem 4.13) $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, *for all prime ideals* $\mathfrak{p}$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*. Formulae for the height* $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$ *and the co-height* $\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p})$ *are found explicitly (via combinatorial data).*
- (Theorems 6.6, Corollary 6.8) *The weak homological dimension and the left and right global dimensions of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *are equal to* n*.*
- (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5) *The prime and the maximal spectra of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *are found.*
- (Theorem 3.2) *The simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-modules are classified.*
- (Corollary 3.5) $\mathrm{GK}\,(M) \leq n$*, for all simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-modules* M*. Moreover,* $\mathrm{GK}\,(M) \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$.
- (Corollary 3.4) *The annihilators separate the simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-modules.*
- (Corollary 3.3) *The polynomial algebra* P_n *is the only faithful, simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module.*
- (Corollary 6.12) $\mathrm{GK}\,(M) + \mathrm{pd}(M) = \mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ *for all simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-modules* M*.*
- (Theorem 6.11) *The projective dimension* $\mathrm{pd}(M)$ *of each the simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module* M *is found explicitly.*
- (Theorem 3.2.(4), Corollary 3.6) *For each simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module* M*, the endomorphism division algebra* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M)$ *is a finite field extension of* K *and its dimension over* K *is equal to the multiplicity* $e(M)$ *of the* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module* M*.*
- (Lemma 4.2) *There are precisely* n *height one prime ideals of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *(they are given explicitly).*
- (Theorem 5.1) *Let* I *be an ideal of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*. Then the factor algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ *is left (or right) Noetherian iff the ideal* I *contains all the height one primes of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*.*
- (Corollary 4.9) *A prime ideal* $\mathfrak{p} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is an idempotent ideal (*$\mathfrak{p}^2 = \mathfrak{p}$*) iff* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is contained in all the maximal ideals of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *iff* $\mathfrak{p}$ *is a sum of height one prime ideals of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*.*
- (Theorem 7.1, Corollary 7.5) *The set* $\mathbb{I}_n$ *of idempotent ideals of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is found. The set* $\mathbb{I}_n$ *is a finite distributive lattice and the number of elements in the set* $\mathbb{I}_n$ *is equal to the Dedekind number* $\mathfrak{d}_n$*.*
- (Theorem 7.2) *Each idempotent ideal* $\mathfrak{a}$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *distinct from* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is a unique product and a unique intersection of the minimal (necessarily idempotent) prime ideals over* $\mathfrak{a}$*.*

These results show that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ has properties that are a mixture of properties of the polynomial algebra P_{2n} and the Weyl algebra A_n. This is not so surprising when we look at the defining relations of the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$, A_n, and P_{2n}.

The algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ are fundamental non-Noetherian algebras; they are universal non-Noetherian algebras of their own kind in a similar way as the polynomial algebras are universal in the class of all the commutative algebras and the Weyl algebras are universal in the class of algebras of differential operators.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ often appears as a subalgebra or a factor algebra of many non-Noetherian algebras. For example, $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a factor algebra of certain non-Noetherian down-up algebras as was shown by Jordan [8] (see also [5], [10], [9]).

2 The ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute and satisfy the a.c.c.

In this section, it is proved that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian (it contains infinite direct sums of left and right nonzero ideals) but the set of all the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ satisfies the a.c.c. (Theorem 2.7), the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute (Theorem 2.8).

The polynomial algebra P_n is a left $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$-module, we denote the action of a linear map $a \in \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ on an element $p \in P_n$ either by $a(p)$ or by $a*p$. By the very definition, the Jacobian algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$.

Lemma 2.1 *The algebra homomorphism* $\mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{A}_n$, $x_i \mapsto x_i$, $y_i \mapsto H_i^{-1}\partial_i$, *is a monomorphism when* $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$.

Proof. In view of the natural isomorphisms $\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathbb{A}_n \simeq \mathbb{A}_1^{\otimes n}$, it suffices to prove the lemma when $n = 1$ (we drop the subscript '1' in this case here and everywhere if this does not lead to confusion). The homomorphism is correctly defined since $H^{-1}\partial x = 1$. It remains to show that its kernel is zero. Note that for each natural numbers i and j, we have

$$x^i y^j \mapsto x^i (H^{-1}\partial)^j = x^i \frac{1}{H(H+1)\cdots(H+j-1)}\partial^j.$$

If an element $a = a_j y^j + a_{j+1}y^{j+1} + \cdots + a_{j+k}y^{j+k} \in \mathbb{S}_1$ (where all $a_s \in K[x]$, and $k \geq 0$) belongs to the kernel then

$$0 = a * x^j = a_j y^j * x^j = a_j,$$

and so $a = 0$, i.e. the kernel is zero. $\square$

We identify the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ with its isomorphic copy in the algebra $\mathbb{A}_n$ via the above monomorphism. Then, $\mathbb{S}_n \subset \mathbb{A}_n \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$, and so P_n is a *faithful* $\mathbb{S}_n$-module when $\mathrm{char}(K) = 0$.

Corollary 2.2 *The* $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module* P_n *is simple and faithful.*

Proof. We have to prove that the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module P_n is simple. For $n = 1$ and natural numbers i and j:

$$y^i * x^j = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j < i, \\ x^{j-i} & \text{if } j \geq i. \end{cases} \qquad (1)$$

If $p = \sum \lambda_\alpha x^\alpha \in P_n$ (where $\lambda_\alpha \in K$) is a nonzero polynomial of degree, say d, then $\lambda_\beta \neq 0$ for some element $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\beta| = d$. Then $\lambda_\beta^{-1} y^\beta * p = 1$. This means that the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module P_n is simple.

Suppose that $a * P_n = 0$ for a nonzero element $a = \sum a_\alpha y^\alpha \in A$ where $a_\alpha \in P_n$, we seek a contradiction. Fix α such that $a_\alpha \neq 0$ and $|\alpha|$ is the least possible. Then $0 \neq a_\alpha = a * x^\alpha = 0$, a contradiction. Therefore, P_n is a faithful $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. $\square$

Later, we will see that P_n is the *only* simple and faithful $\mathbb{S}_n$-module (Corollary 3.3).

Example. Consider a vector space $V = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} Ke_i$ and two shift operators on V, $X : e_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$ and $Y : e_i \mapsto e_{i-1}$ for all $i \geq 0$ where $e_{-1} := 0$. By Corollary 2.2 and (1), the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ generated by the operators X and Y is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ ($X \mapsto x$, $Y \mapsto y$). By taking the n'th tensor power $V^{\otimes n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} Ke_\alpha$ of V we see that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is isomorphic to the subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V^{\otimes n})$ generated by the $2n$ shifts $X_1, Y_1, \ldots, X_n, Y_n$ that act in different directions.

When $n = 1$, by (1), for each natural number i, the product x^iy^i is the projection onto the ideal (x^i) of the polynomial algebra $K[x]$ in the decomposition $K[x] = (\bigoplus_{j<i} Kx^j) \bigoplus (x^i)$. Therefore, the elements of the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(P_n)$ (where $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$):

$$E_{ij} := \begin{cases} x^{i-j}(x^jy^j - x^{j+1}y^{j+1}) & \text{if } i \geq j \ , \\ y^{j-i}(x^jy^j - x^{j+1}y^{j+1}) & \text{if } i < j \ , \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

are the matrix units, i.e. $E_{ij} * x^k = \delta_{jk}x^i$, $k \geq 0$, where δ_{jk} is the Kronecker delta. In particular, $E_{ij}E_{kl} = \delta_{jk}E_{il}$ for all natural numbers i, j, k, and l. Therefore, the subring (without 1)

$$F := \bigoplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} KE_{ij}$$

of $\mathbb{S}_1$ is canonically isomorphic to the ring (without 1) of infinite dimensional matrices

$$M_\infty(K) := \varinjlim M_d(K) = \bigoplus_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} KE_{ij}$$

(via $F \to M_\infty(K)$, $E_{ij} \mapsto E_{ij}$) where E_{ij} are the matrix units of $M_\infty(K)$ and $M_d(K) := \bigoplus_{i,j=1}^n KE_{ij}$ is the ring of d-dimensional matrices over K.

We have another presentation of the matrix units:

$$E_{ij} := \begin{cases} (x^iy^i - x^{i+1}y^{i+1})x^{i-j} & \text{if } i \geq j \ , \\ (x^iy^i - x^{i+1}y^{i+1})y^{j-i} & \text{if } i < j \ . \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

The formula (3) can be verified directly using the inclusion $\mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathrm{End}_K(P_1)$. Now, combining (2) and (3) we can write

$$E_{ij} = x^iy^j - x^{i+1}y^{j+1} = x^iE_{00}y^j, \ \ i, j \geq 0. \tag{4}$$

The involution η on $\mathbb{S}_n$. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ admits the *involution*

$$\eta : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n, \ \ x_i \mapsto y_i, \ \ y_i \mapsto x_i, \ \ i = 1, \ldots, n,$$

i.e. it is a K-algebra anti-isomorphism ($\eta(ab) = \eta(b)\eta(a)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n$) such that $\eta^2 = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_n}$, the identity map on $\mathbb{S}_n$. So, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is *self-dual* (i.e. it is isomorphic to its opposite algebra, $\eta : \mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n^{op}$). This means that left and right algebraic properties of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are the same.

For $n = 1$ and all $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\eta(E_{ij}) = E_{ji}. \tag{5}$$

This follows from (4). The involution η acts on the ring $F = M_\infty(K)$ as the transposition. In general case,

$$\eta(F_n) = F_n, \tag{6}$$

where $F_n := F^{\otimes n} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n F(i) = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} KE_{\alpha\beta}$, $F(i) := \bigoplus_{s,t\in\mathbb{N}} KE_{st}(i)$, $E_{\alpha\beta} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^n E_{\alpha_i\beta_i}(i)$ where $E_{\alpha_i\beta_i}(i) := x_i^{\alpha_i}(1 - x_iy_i)y_i^{\beta_i} \in F(i) \subset \mathbb{S}_1(i)$. Clearly, $E_{\alpha\beta}E_{\gamma\rho} = \delta_{\beta,\gamma}E_{\alpha\rho}$ for all elements $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \rho \in \mathbb{N}^n$ where $\delta_{\beta,\gamma}$ is the Kronecker delta function. The involution η acts on the 'matrix' ring F_n as the transposition:

$$\eta(E_{\alpha\beta}) = E_{\beta\alpha}. \tag{7}$$

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. By (2) and (3), for all $i, j \geq 0$,

$$xE_{ij} = E_{i+1,j}, \;\; yE_{ij} = E_{i-1,j} \quad (E_{-1,j} := 0), \tag{8}$$

$$E_{ij}x = E_{i,j-1}, \;\; E_{ij}y = E_{i,j+1} \quad (E_{i,-1} := 0). \tag{9}$$

By (8) and (9), F is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$. Note that F is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{A}_1$ (Corollary 2.6, [2]) (from this fact it also follows that F is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ since $F \subset \mathbb{S}_1 \subset \mathbb{A}_1$).

By (8) and (9), for all $i, j \geq 0$,

$$xE_{ij} = E_{i+1,j+1}x, \;\; E_{ij}y = yE_{i+1,j+1}. \tag{10}$$

By (8), for each $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 0$, the left $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $\mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} = \bigoplus_{k\geq 0} KE_{kj}$ is isomorphic to the left $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $K[x]$ via the isomorphism $\mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} \to K[x]$, $E_{0j} \mapsto 1$ (and so $E_{kj} \mapsto x^k$, $k \geq 0$).

Lemma 2.3 *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is neither left nor right Noetherian. Moreover, it contains infinite direct sums of left and right ideals.*

Proof. The algebra is self-dual, so it suffices to prove, say, the first statement of the lemma. Since $\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$, it suffices to prove the lemma when $n = 1$. In this case, $F = \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}_1 E_{ii} \simeq \oplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} P_1$ is the direct sum of infinitely many copies of the simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-module P_1. $\square$

The elements x_i and y_i of $\mathbb{S}_n$ are not regular. Let r be an element of a ring R. The element r is called *regular* if $\mathrm{l.ann}_R(r) = 0$ and $\mathrm{r.ann}_r(r) = 0$ where $\mathrm{l.ann}_R(r) := \{s \in R \,|\, sr = 0\}$ is the *left annihilator* of r and $\mathrm{r.ann}_R(r) := \{s \in R \,|\, rs = 0\}$ is the *right annihilator* of r.

The next lemma shows that the elements x and y of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ are not regular.

Lemma 2.4 *1.* $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = \mathbb{S}_1 E_{00} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i,0} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i(1-xy)$ *and* $\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = 0$.

2. $\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y) = E_{00}\mathbb{S}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{0,i} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} K(1-xy)y^i$ *and* $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y) = 0$.

Proof. 1. $yx = 1$ implies $\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = 0$. Since $E_{00}x = (1-xy)x = x - x = 0$,

$$\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) \supseteq \mathbb{S}_1 E_{00} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i,0} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i(1-xy).$$

To prove the reverse inclusion note that the right $K[x]$-module $\mathbb{S}_1/K[x]$ is a direct sum of its right submodules $M_i := \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\infty} Kx^i y^j + K[x]$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows from the equalities $x^i y^j x = x^i y^{j-1}$ that the kernel of the linear map in $\mathbb{S}_1/K[x]$ given by the multiplication by the element x on the right is equal to $\bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i y + K[x]$. Clearly, $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i y + K[x] = Ky \bigoplus \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i,0} \bigoplus K[x]$. Now, one can easily find that $\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x) = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} KE_{i,0}$, as required.

2. The second statement follows from the first by using the involution η:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y) &= \eta^2(\mathrm{r.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y)) = \eta(\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(\eta(y))) = \eta(\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x)) = \eta(\mathbb{S}_1 E_{00}) \\
&= \eta(E_{00})\mathbb{S}_1 = E_{00}\mathbb{S}_1 = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} K(1-xy)y^i,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that $\eta(E_{00}) = E_{00}$ (see (5)). $\square$

It follows from (4) that

$$\mathbb{S}_1 = K \bigoplus xK[x] \bigoplus yK[y] \bigoplus F, \tag{11}$$

the direct sum of vector spaces. Then

$$\mathbb{S}_1/F \simeq K[x, x^{-1}], \;\; x \mapsto x, \;\; y \mapsto x^{-1}, \tag{12}$$

since $yx = 1$, $xy = 1 - E_{00}$ and $E_{00} \in F$.

Example. Let $V=\bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}}Ke_i$ be a vector space. By taking the matrix of a linear map in V with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ for the vector space V, we identify the algebra $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ with the algebra of infinite matrices $\{\sum_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}}a_{ij}E_{ij}$ is an infinite sum where for each j almost all scalars $a_{ij}=0\}$ where E_{ij} are the matrix units. Let $\mathfrak{n}:=\sum_{i\geq 0}E_{i+1,i}$ and $\mathfrak{m}:=\sum_{i\geq 1}E_{i-1,i}$. A matrix of the form $\sum_{i>0}\lambda_{-i}\mathfrak{m}^i+\lambda_0E+\sum_{i>0}\lambda_i\mathfrak{n}^i$ is called a *multidiagonal matrix* where $\lambda_i\in K$ (and the sums are finite). A matrix is called an *almost multidiagonal* if it becomes a multidiagonal matrix by adding a finite sum $\sum\mu_{ij}E_{ij}$, $\mu_{ij}\in K$. The set of all almost multidiagonal matrices is a subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}_K(V)$ which is isomorphic to the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$, by (11) and (1) ($\mathfrak{n}\leftrightarrow x$, $\mathfrak{m}\leftrightarrow y$, $E_{ij}\leftrightarrow E_{ij}$).

For an element a of an algebra A, the subalgebra of A, $\mathrm{Cen}_A(a):=\{b\in A\,|\,ba=ab\}$, is called the *centralizer* of the element a in the algebra A. By (8), (9), and (11),

$$\mathrm{Cen}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(x)=K[x]\ \text{ and }\ \mathrm{Cen}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(y)=K[y]. \tag{13}$$

We say that a K-algebra A is *central* if its centre $Z(A)$ is K. We denote by $\mathcal{J}(A)$ the set of all the ideals of the algebra A. An ideal I of the algebra A is called a *proper* ideal if $I\neq 0,A$. The *classical Krull dimension* of the algebra A is denoted cl.Kdim(A). spec(A) and Max(A) denote the *prime spectrum* and the *maximal spectrum* of the algebra A respectively. A nonzero polynomial $a\in K[x]$ is a *monic* polynomial if its leading coefficient is 1. The *socle* soc(M) of a module M is the sum of its semi-simple submodules, if they exist, and is zero otherwise.

Proposition 2.5 *1. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is central.*

2. *$Fa\neq 0$ and $aF\neq 0$ for all nonzero elements $a\in\mathbb{S}_1$.*
3. *F is the smallest (with respect to inclusion) nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ (i.e. F is contained in all the nonzero ideals of $\mathbb{S}_1$); $F^2=F$; F is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_1$; F is the socle of the left and right $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $\mathbb{S}_1$.*
4. *The set $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ of all the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is $\{0,F,\mathbb{S}_1,F+a(K[x]+K[y])$ where $a=a(x)$ is a monic non-scalar polynomial of K[x] such that $a(0)\neq 0\}$; and two such ideals are equal, $F+a(K[x]+K[y])=F+b(K[x]+K[y])$, iff $a=b$.*
5. *$IJ=JI$ for all ideals I and J of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$.*
6. spec$(\mathbb{S}_1)=\{0,F,\mathfrak{m}_a:=F+a(K[x]+K[y])$ *where $a\in K[x]$ is a monic irreducible polynomial distinct from $x\}$. In particular, $\mathbb{S}_1$ is a prime ring.*
7. Max$(\mathbb{S}_1)=\{\mathfrak{m}_a\,|\,$*$a$ is a monic irreducible polynomial distinct from x*$\}$.
8. *Any proper ideal I of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ such that $I\neq F$ is a unique finite product of maximal ideals, i.e. $I=\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}$ where all but finitely many natural numbers i_a are equal to zero; and $\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}=\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{j_a}$ iff all $i_a=j_a$ for all a.*
9. *$\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}=\bigcap\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}$; $\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}+\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{j_a}=\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{\min(i_a,j_a)}$ and $\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{i_a}\bigcap\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{j_a}=\prod\mathfrak{m}_a^{\max(i_a,j_a)}$. In particular, the lattice $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ is distributive.*
10. *The classical Krull dimension of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is 2.*

Remark. For $K=\mathbb{C}$, statement 6 above and the fact that F is the minimal nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{S}_1$ were proved by Jordan (Corollary 7.6, [8]) using a different method.

Proof. 1. By (13), $Z(\mathbb{S}_1)=\mathrm{Cen}(x)\cap\mathrm{Cen}(y)=K$.

2. This follows at once from (11), (8), and (9).

3. $F^2=F$ since $F=M_\infty(K)$. The fact that F is the smallest nonzero ideal follows from statement 2. By statement 2, F is an essential left and right $\mathbb{S}_1$-submodule of $\mathbb{S}_1$. Then F is the

socle of the module ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1$ since the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module F is semi-simple (see the proof of Lemma 2.3). Using the involution η we see that F is the socle of the right $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $\mathbb{S}_1$.

4. Let I be an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ which is distinct from the ideals 0, F and $\mathbb{S}_1$. Then $F \subset I$, and, by (12), $I = F + aK[x]$ for a monic non-scalar polynomial of $K[x]$ with $a(0) \neq 0$ (since $I \neq \mathbb{S}_1$). The rest is obvious due to (12).

5. This follows from statements 3 and 4 ($yx = 1$, $xy = 1 - E_{00}$, $E_{00} \in F$).

6. Let I and J be nonzero ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$. Then both of them contains the ideal F, by statement 3. Then $IJ \supseteq F^2 = F \neq 0$. Therefore, 0 is a prime ideal, i.e. the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is prime. F is a prime ideal since $\mathbb{S}_1/F \simeq K[x, x^{-1}]$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra over a field (a commutative domain). From this fact it follows that the ideal $F + a(K[x] + K[y])$ from statement 4 is prime iff in addition the polynomial a is irreducible. This observation finishes the proof of statement 6.

7. Statement 7 follows from statement 6.

8. Statement 8 follows from statement 7.

9. Statement 9 follows from statement 8.

10. It follows from the inclusion of prime ideals $0 \subset F \subset \mathfrak{m}_a$ that $\text{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_1) = 2$ since the ideals $\mathfrak{m}_a$ are maximal. $\Box$

By Proposition 2.5.(7), the map

$$\text{Max}(K[x, x^{-1}]) \to \text{Max}(\mathbb{S}_1), \quad \mathfrak{m} \mapsto F + \mathbb{S}_1\mathfrak{m} = F + \mathfrak{m}\mathbb{S}_1,$$

is a bijection.

Let $n \geq 2$. By (11), each element $a \in \mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ has a *unique* presentation

$$a = \lambda + \sum_{i \geq 1}(y^i \otimes \lambda_{-i} + x^i \otimes \lambda_i) + \sum_{i,j \geq 0} E_{ij} \otimes \lambda_{ij} \tag{14}$$

for some elements $\lambda, \lambda_{\pm i}, \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. The next lemma is crucial in the proofs of Theorems 2.7 and 2.8).

Lemma 2.6 *Let I and J be ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$, $n \geq 2$. Then*

1. *$I \cap (F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}) = F \otimes I_{n-1}$ for a unique ideal I_{n-1} of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$.*
2. *The ideal I_{n-1} of $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is the K-linear span in $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ of the coefficients $\lambda, \lambda_{\pm i}, \lambda_{ij} \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ in (14) for all the elements a of the ideal I.*
3. *If $I \subseteq J$ then $I_{n-1} \subseteq J_{n-1}$.*
4. *$(IJ)_{n-1} = I_{n-1}J_{n-1}$.*

Proof. 1 and 2. The uniqueness in statement 1 is obvious (if $F \otimes \mathfrak{a} = F \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ for two ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ then $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$, and vice versa). Let I'_{n-1} be the K-linear span in statement 2. Then I'_{n-1} is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ and

$$I \cap (F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}) \subseteq F \otimes I'_{n-1}.$$

To finish the proof of statements 1 and 2 it suffices to show that the reverse inclusion holds. Let $a \in I$, and so we have the decomposition (14) for the element a. First, let us show that $E_{kl} \otimes \lambda \in I$ for some natural numbers k and l. Fix sufficiently large natural numbers k and l. Then $E_{kl}(\sum_{i,j\geq 0} E_{ij} \otimes \lambda_{ij})E_{ll} = 0$, and so

$$E_{kl}aE_{ll} = E_{kl}\lambda + \sum_{i \geq 1}(E_{k,l+i}E_{ll} \otimes \lambda_{-i} + E_{k,l-i}E_{ll} \otimes \lambda_i) = E_{kl} \otimes \lambda.$$

Similarly, for sufficiently large natural numbers k and l, and for all natural numbers $i \geq 1$, $E_{kl}y^iaE_{ll} = E_{kl} \otimes \lambda_i$ and $E_{kl}ax^iE_{ll} = E_{kl} \otimes \lambda_{-i}$. For all natural numbers i, $E_{ii}aE_{ii} = E_{ii} \otimes (\lambda + \lambda_{ii})$

and so $E_{ii}\otimes\lambda_{ii}\in I$ since $E_{ii}\otimes\lambda = E_{ik}(E_{kl}\otimes\lambda)E_{li}\in I$. For all natural numbers $i>j$, $E_{ii}aE_{jj}=E_{ij}\otimes(\lambda_{i-j}+\lambda_{ij})$ and $E_{jj}aE_{ii}=E_{ji}\otimes(\lambda_{-(i-j)}+\lambda_{ji})$, and so $E_{ij}\otimes\lambda_{ij}, E_{ji}\otimes\lambda_{ji}\in I$. This finishes the proof of statements 1 and 2.

3. Statement 3 is obvious.

4. Statement 4 follows from statements 1 and 2. By statement 2, $(IJ)_{n-1}\subseteq I_{n-1}J_{n-1}$. By statement 1, we have the inverse inclusion:

$$\begin{aligned}F\otimes(I_{n-1}J_{n-1}) &= (F\otimes I_{n-1})(F\otimes J_{n-1}) = I\cap(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1})\cdot J\cap(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1})\\ &\subseteq (IJ)\cap(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}) = F\otimes(IJ)_{n-1},\end{aligned}$$

and so $I_{n-1}J_{n-1}\subseteq(IJ)_{n-1}$. $\Box$

Theorem 2.7 *The set $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ satisfies the ascending chain condition (the a.c.c., for short).*

Proof. Recall that the set $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ satisfies the a.c.c. if each ascending chain of ideals in $\mathbb{S}_n$ stabilizes, i.e. has a largest element. We use induction on n. The case $n=1$ follows from the description of the set $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ (Proposition 2.5.(4)). Suppose that $n\geq 2$ and that the result is true for all n' such that $n'<n$. Note that for any algebra A, the set $\mathcal{J}(A)$ of its ideals satisfies the a.c.c. iff the A-bimodule A is Noetherian. Recall the following (easy) generalization of the Hilbert Basis Theorem: *An A-module M is Noetherian iff the $K[x]\otimes A$-module $K[x]\otimes M$ is Noetherian.* By induction, the $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-bimodule $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is Noetherian, hence the $K[x]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-bimodule $K[x]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is Noetherian, hence $K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-bimodule $K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is Noetherian. Note that $F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n=\mathbb{S}_1\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ such that $\mathbb{S}_n/(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1})\simeq K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is a Noetherian $K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-bimodule, or, equivalently, a Noetherian $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule. For any ideal I of $\mathbb{S}_n$, by Lemma 2.6.(1),

$$I\cap(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}) = F\otimes I_{n-1},$$

for some ideal I_{n-1} of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule $F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is Noetherian. It follows from the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodules:

$$0\to F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}\to\mathbb{S}_n=\mathbb{S}_1\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}\to K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}\to 0 \qquad (15)$$

that the $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule $\mathbb{S}_n$ is Noetherian since the $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodules $F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ and $K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ are Noetherian. This proves that the set $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ satisfies the a.c.c.. $\Box$

Definition. For an algebra A we say that its ideals *commute* if $IJ=JI$ for all ideals I and J of the algebra A.

Theorem 2.8 *$IJ=JI$ for all ideals I and J of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

Proof. To prove the result we use induction on n. The case $n=1$ is Proposition 2.5.(5). So, let $n>1$ and we assume that the result holds for all $n'<n$. By Lemma 2.6.(1), $I\cap(F\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1})=F\otimes I_{n-1}$ for some ideal I_{n-1} of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Using (15), we have the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules

$$0\to F\otimes I_{n-1}\to I\to\overline{I}\to 0$$

where $\overline{I}$ is an ideal of the algebra $K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ which is the image of the ideal I under the epimorphism $\mathbb{S}_n\to K[x,x^{-1}]\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. It is obvious that two ideals I and I' of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are equal iff $I_{n-1}=I'_{n-1}$ and $\overline{I}=\overline{I}'$. Note that $(IJ)_{n-1}=I_{n-1}J_{n-1}=J_{n-1}I_{n-1}=(JI)_{n-1}$ (by Lemma 2.6.(4)), and $\overline{IJ}=\overline{I}\cdot\overline{J}=\overline{J}\cdot\overline{I}=\overline{JI}$ (by the same arguments and induction). Therefore, $IJ=JI$. $\Box$

The associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ **and the algebra** D_n.

Definition. The algebra D_n is an algebra generated over a field K by $2n$ elements $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n, \ldots, y_n$ that satisfy the defining relations:

$$y_1x_1 = \cdots = y_nx_n = 0, \;\; [x_i, y_j] = [x_i, x_j] = [y_i, y_j] = 0 \;\text{ for all } i \neq j.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{D}_n \simeq \mathrm{D}_1^{\otimes n}$ and $\mathrm{D}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$. The canonical generators x_i, y_j $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$ of the algebra D_n determine the ascending filtration $\{\mathrm{D}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ on the algebra D_n where $\mathrm{D}_{n,\leq i} := \bigoplus_{|\alpha|+|\beta|\leq i} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$. Then $\dim(\mathrm{D}_{n,\leq i}) = \binom{i+2n}{2n}$ for $i \geq 0$, and so $\mathrm{GK}\,(\mathrm{D}_n) = 2n$.

The associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}_n) := \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}/\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i-1}$ is isomorphic to the algebra D_n. The *nil-radical* $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}(\mathrm{D}_n)$ of the algebra D_n (i.e. the sum of all the nilpotent ideals of D_n) is equal to $\bigoplus_{\exists i:\alpha_i\beta_i\neq 0} Kx^\alpha y^\beta$, and $\mathfrak{n}^{n+1} = 0$. The factor algebra $\mathrm{D}_n/\mathfrak{n} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n K[\overline{x}_i, \overline{y}_i]/(\overline{y}_i\overline{x}_i)$ is the tensor product of the commutative algebras $K[\overline{x}_i, \overline{y}_i]/(\overline{y}_i\overline{x}_i)$.

The involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ respects the filtration $\{\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$, i.e. $\eta(\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}) = \mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}$ for all $i \geq 0$; and so the associated graded algebra $\mathrm{gr}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ inherits the involution

$$\eta : \mathrm{D}_n \to \mathrm{D}_n, \;\; x_i \mapsto y_i, \;\; y_i \mapsto x_i, \;\; i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

In particular, the algebra D_n is self-dual. The algebra D_n is neither left nor right Noetherian as it contains the infinite direct sum $\bigoplus_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} \mathrm{D}_n x_1 \cdots x_n y^\beta$ of nonzero left ideals. The simple D_n-modules can be easily described,

$$\widehat{\mathrm{D}_n} = \widehat{\mathrm{D}_n/\mathfrak{n}}.$$

In particular, all the simple D_n-modules are finite dimensional. The prime and maximal spectra of the algebra D_n are easily found since $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{D}_n) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{D}_n/\mathfrak{n})$ and $\mathrm{Max}(\mathrm{D}_n) = \mathrm{Max}(\mathrm{D}_n/\mathfrak{n})$.

3 Classification of simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules

In this section, we classify all the simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules (Theorem 3.2.(1)). It is proved that for each simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M, its endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M)$ is a finite *field* extension of the field K (Theorem 3.2.(4)), and the multiplicity $e(M)$ of M is equal to $\dim(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M))$ (Corollary 3.6). This is the second instance known to me when the multiplicity of a simple module is equal to the dimension of its endomorphism division algebra. In [4] this was proved for certain simple modules over the ring $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ of differential operators on the polynomial algebra P_n over a perfect field of *prime* characteristic. Note that the algebra $\mathcal{D}(P_n)$ is neither left nor right Noetherian and not finitely generated either.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_n} \mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra where $\mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha} := \mathbb{S}_{1,\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_{1,\alpha_n}$, $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$,

$$\mathbb{S}_{1,i} := \begin{cases} x^i\mathbb{S}_{1,0} = \mathbb{S}_{1,0}x^i & \text{if } i \geq 1 \;, \\ \mathbb{S}_{1,0} & \text{if } i = 0 \;, \\ y^{|i|}\mathbb{S}_{1,0} = \mathbb{S}_{1,0}y^{|i|} & \text{if } i \leq -1 \;, \end{cases}$$

$\mathbb{S}_{1,0} := K\langle E_{00}, E_{11}, \ldots\rangle = K \oplus KE_{00} \oplus KE_{11} \oplus \cdots$ is a commutative non-Noetherian algebra ($KE_{00} \subset KE_{00} \oplus KE_{11} \subset \cdots$ is an ascending chain of ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{1,0}$).

The polynomial algebra $P_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} P_{n,\alpha}$ is an $\mathbb{N}^n$-graded algebra (and, automatically, a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded algebra) where $P_{n,\alpha} := Kx^\alpha$. Moreover, $\mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha}P_{n,\beta} \subseteq P_{n,\alpha+\beta}$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Therefore, the polynomial algebra P_n is a $\mathbb{Z}^n$-graded $\mathbb{S}_n$-module.

Note that the involution η reverses the $\mathbb{Z}^n$-grading of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e.

$$\eta(\mathbb{S}_{n,\alpha}) = \mathbb{S}_{n,-\alpha}, \;\; \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n,$$

and it acts as the identity map on the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n,0}$.

For an algebra A, let $\widehat{A}$ denote the set of isoclasses of simple A-modules. For a simple A-module M, $[M]$ is its isoclass. We usually drop the brackets $[,]$ if this does not lead to confusion.

The simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules. The next Lemma gives all the simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules.

Lemma 3.1 *1.* $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_1 = \{K[x], \mathbb{S}_1/\mathfrak{m}_a = \mathbb{S}_1/(F + a(K[x] + K[y]))$ *where* $\mathfrak{m}_a \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_1)\}$ *and* $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_1 \simeq \{K[x]\} \cup \widehat{K[x, x^{-1}]}$.

2. $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K[x]) \simeq K$ *and* $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(\mathbb{S}_1/\mathfrak{m}_a) \simeq K[x, x^{-1}]/(a)$ *for all* $\mathfrak{m}_a \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_1)$.

Proof. 1. Let M be a simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-module. Then either $FM = M$ or $FM = 0$. In the first case, $E_{ij}m \neq 0$ for some matrix unit E_{ij} and a nonzero element $m \in M$. Recall that ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} = \mathbb{S}_1 E_{0j} \simeq K[x]$ (a simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-module). Since the $\mathbb{S}_1$-homomorphism $\mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} \to \mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} m$, $a \mapsto am$, is nonzero, $M = \mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} m \simeq \mathbb{S}_1 E_{ij} \simeq K[x]$.

In the second case, $FM = 0$, the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module M is in fact the module over the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_1/F \simeq K[x, x^{-1}]$, hence $M \simeq \mathbb{S}_1/\mathfrak{m}_a$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_a \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_1)$ (see Proposition 2.5.(7)). It is obvious that $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_1 \simeq \{K[x]\} \cup \widehat{K[x, x^{-1}]}$.

2. Since ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}K[x] \simeq \mathbb{S}_1/\mathbb{S}_1 y$ and $\mathrm{ann}_{K[x]}(y) = K$, we have $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K[x]) \simeq K$. It is obvious that $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(\mathbb{S}_1/\mathfrak{m}_a) \simeq \mathrm{End}_{K[x,x^{-1}]}(K[x, x^{-1}]/(a)) \simeq K[x, x^{-1}]/(a)$. $\square$

The simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules. Our next goal is to generalize Lemma 3.1 for an arbitrary n. First, we introduce more notation. For a subset $\mathcal{N} = \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ of the set of indices $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $C\mathcal{N}$ be its complement, $|\mathcal{N}| = s$, $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} := \mathbb{S}_1(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(i_s)$,

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{N}} := F \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(i_2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(i_s) + \cdots + \mathbb{S}_1(i_1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(i_{s-1}) \otimes F, \tag{16}$$

$P_{\mathcal{N}} := K[x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_s}]$. Clearly, $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Let $L_{\mathcal{N}} := K[x_{i_1}, x_{i_1}^{-1}, \dots, x_{i_s}, x_{i_s}^{-1}]$. Then $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{N}} \simeq L_{\mathcal{N}}$. The $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}$-module $P_{\mathcal{N}}$ is simple with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}}(P_{\mathcal{N}}) \simeq K$. For each maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$, the $\mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}$-module $L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}/(\mathfrak{a}_{C\mathcal{N}} + \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{m})$ is simple. Let $F_{C\mathcal{N}} = \bigotimes_{i \in C\mathcal{N}} F(i) = F^{\otimes(n-s)}$. Hence, the tensor product

$$M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}} := P_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes (L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}) \tag{17}$$

is a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. The annihilator $\mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}})$ is equal to $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes (F_{C\mathcal{N}} + \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{m})$. Therefore, two such modules are isomorphic, $M_{\mathcal{N}_1,\mathfrak{m}_1} \simeq M_{\mathcal{N}_2,\mathfrak{m}_2}$, iff $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{m}_1 = \mathfrak{m}_2$ iff $\mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N}_1,\mathfrak{m}_1}) = \mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N}_2,\mathfrak{m}_2})$. Let

$$\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\mathcal{N}} := \{M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}} \,|\, \mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Max}(L_{C\mathcal{N}})\}. \tag{18}$$

In particular, $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\{1,\dots,n\}} = \{P_n\}$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\emptyset} = \{L_n/\mathfrak{m} \mid \mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Max}(L_n)\}$. The subsets $\{\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\mathcal{N}}\}$ of $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_n$ are disjoint.

Theorem 3.2 *1.* $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_n = \coprod_{\mathcal{N} \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}} \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\mathcal{N}}$*, a disjoint union.*

2. $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(P_n) \simeq K$*,* $\mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(P_n) = 0$*, and* $\mathrm{GK}\,(P_n) = n$*.*

3. $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}) \simeq L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}$*,* $\mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes (F_{C\mathcal{N}} + \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{m})$*, and* $\mathrm{GK}\,(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}) = |\mathcal{N}|$*.*

4. The endomorphism algebra of each simple $\mathbb{S}_n$*-module is a finite field extension of* K*.*

Proof. 1. We use induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is Lemma 3.1. Suppose that $n > 1$ and that the result is true for all $n' < n$. Let M be a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. Then either $\mathfrak{a}_n M = 0$ or $\mathfrak{a}_n M = M$. In the first case, the module M is a simple $(\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n = L_n)$-module, and so $M \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_{n,\emptyset}$.

In the second case, $F(i)M \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $F(i)$ is the smallest nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $i = n$. Then $\mathbb{S}_1(n)$-module M contains a simple $\mathbb{S}_1(n)$-submodule isomorphic to the $\mathbb{S}_1(n)$-module $K[x_n]$. Since $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n)$ and $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1(n)}(K[x_n]) \simeq K$, we have $M \simeq N \otimes K[x_n]$ for a simple $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-module N. Now, induction completes the argument.

2 and 3. Statements 2 and 3 are obvious.

4. Statement 4 follows from statements 2 and 3. $\square$

An algebra is called a *primitive* algebra provided there exists a simple faithful module. The *Jacobson radical* of an algebra is the largest ideal that annihilates all the simple modules.

Corollary 3.3 *The polynomial algebra P_n is the only faithful simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module (and so the Jacobson radical of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is zero, and the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is primitive).*

Proof. The polynomial algebra P_n is a faithful simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. The fact that it is the only one follows from Theorem 3.2.(3). $\square$

Note that the annihilator of simple module is a prime ideal. The following corollary shows that the annihilators separate the simple modules.

Corollary 3.4 *The map $\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_n \rightarrow \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, $M \mapsto \mathrm{ann}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M)$, is an injection.*

Proof. We have seen above that simple modules are isomorphic iff their annihilators coincide. $\square$

For the Weyl algebra A_n over a field K of characteristic zero, the *Inequality of Bernstein* says that $\mathrm{GK}\,(M) \geq n$ for all nonzero finitely generated A_n-modules M. Note that $\mathrm{GK}\,(A_n) = \mathrm{GK}\,(\mathbb{S}_n) = 2n$. The corollary below shows that for simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules the 'opposite' Inequality of Bernstein is true.

Corollary 3.5 $\{\mathrm{GK}\,(M) \mid M \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_n\} = \{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Theorem 3.2.(2,3). $\square$

Recall that the algebras $\mathbb{S}_n$ and P_n are equipped with the standard filtrations $\{\mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{P_{n,\leq i}\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$. For each simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}$ (see (17)), let $1\otimes\overline{1}$ be its canonical generator where $1 \in P_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\overline{1} \in L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}$. The $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}$ admits the standard filtration

$$\{M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m},\leq i} := \mathbb{S}_{n,\leq i}\, 1 \otimes \overline{1}\}.$$

There exists a natural number, say j, such that

$$P_{\mathcal{N},\leq i-j} \otimes (L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m},\leq i} \subseteq P_{\mathcal{N},\leq i} \otimes (L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}), \;\; i \gg 0,$$

and so the Hilbert function of the module $M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}$ has the form:

$$\dim(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m},\leq i}) = \frac{\dim(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m})}{|\mathcal{N}|!}\, i^{|\mathcal{N}|} + \cdots, \;\; i \geq 0,$$

where the three dots denote smaller terms. It follows that the *multiplicity* $e(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}})$ of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}$ exists and is equal to

$$\dim(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}) = \dim(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}})),$$

by Theorem 3.2.(2,3).

Corollary 3.6 *For each simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M, its multiplicity $e(M)$ is equal to* $\dim(\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M))$.

4 The prime and maximal spectra of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$

In this section, the prime and maximal spectra of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are found (Theorems 4.4 and 4.5); it is proved that the classical Krull dimension of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$ (Theorem 4.11), and the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a central, prime, catenary algebra (Proposition 4.1, Theorem 4.15). Formulae for the height and the co-height of primes of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are found via combinatorial data (Theorem 4.13). In many arguments, the height 1 primes of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ play an important role. Their classification is given in Lemma 4.2.

The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a prime algebra. Recall that $F_n := F^{\otimes n}$ is an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. It follows from $F_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} KE_{\alpha\beta}$ that F_n is a *simple* $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule.

Proposition 4.1 1. *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is central.*

2. *$F_na \neq 0$ and $aF_n \neq 0$ for all nonzero elements a of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

3. *F_n is the smallest (with respect to inclusion) nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (i.e. F_n is contained in all nonzero ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$); $F_n^2 = F_n$; F_n is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$; F_n is the socle of the left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n$; F_n is the socle of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule $\mathbb{S}_n$ and F_n is a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule.*

4. *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a prime algebra.*

5. *Every nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

Proof. 1. The fact that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is central follows from (13) and Proposition 2.5.(1).

2. For $n = 1$, this is Proposition 2.5.(2). Suppose that $n > 1$. Let a be a nonzero element of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. The element a is a sum $\sum a_i \otimes b_i$ for some linearly independent elements $a_i \in \mathbb{S}_1$ and some linearly independent elements $b_i \in \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Now, the result follows from Proposition 2.5.(2) since $Fa_1 \neq 0$ and $a_1F \neq 0$.

3. The fact that F_n is the smallest nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ follows from statement 2 and the fact that F_n is a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-bimodule. $F_n^2 = (\bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} KE_{\alpha\beta})^2 = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n} KE_{\alpha\beta} = F_n$. By statement 2, F_n is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Note that

$${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}F_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} (\mathbb{S}_n E_{0,\alpha_1} \cdots E_{0,\alpha_n}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n} P_n,$$

and so the left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module F_n is semi-simple. Therefore, F_n must be the socle of the left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n$ since F_n is an essential submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Applying the involution η and using the fact that $\eta(F_n) = F_n$, we see that F_n is the socle of the right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n$.

4. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a prime algebra since F_n is the smallest nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $F_n^2 = F_n$ (let I and J be nonzero ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$; then $IJ \supseteq F_n^2 = F_n \neq 0$).

5. Statement 5 follows from the fact that F_n is the smallest nonzero ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$ and F_n is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$ (statement 2). $\square$

The set of height 1 primes of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Consider the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 := F \otimes \mathbb{A}_{n-1},\ \mathfrak{p}_2 := \mathbb{S}_1 \otimes F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-2}, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n := \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes F.$$

Then $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes (\mathbb{S}_1/F) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$ and $\bigcap_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = \prod_{i=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_i = F^{\otimes n}$. Clearly, $\mathfrak{p}_i \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of an algebra A. Let $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{ht}_A(\mathfrak{p})$ denote the *height* of the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{cht}_A(\mathfrak{p}) := \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(A/\mathfrak{p})$ denote the *co-height* of the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$.

The next lemma gives all the height 1 primes of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. Surprisingly, there are only finitely many of them (bearing in mind that $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a prime algebra of classical Krull dimension $2n$ (Theorem 4.11) and $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a 'left localization' of the polynomial algebra P_n).

Lemma 4.2 *The set of height 1 prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $\{\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$.*

Proof. The algebras $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ and $K[x_i, x_i^{-1}]$ are prime algebras, then so is their tensor product $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_i$ (Proposition 4.3.(1)), and so $\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a prime ideal. Clearly, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \geq 1$ for all i. Suppose that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i) > 1$ for some i, we seek a contradiction. Then there is a nonzero prime $\mathfrak{p}$ which is strictly contained in the ideal $\mathfrak{p}_i$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_i \supset \mathfrak{p} \supseteq F_n = \prod_{j=1}^n \mathfrak{p}_j$, and so $\mathfrak{p}_i \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_j$ for some $j \neq i$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i) = 1$ for all i. $\square$

The primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_n$ play a prominent role in many proofs of this paper. To find the prime spectrum $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ we need the following result.

Proposition 4.3 *Let A be an algebra over the field K.*

1. *Then the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ is a prime algebra iff the algebra A is so.*

2. *If $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal of the algebra A then the ideal $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathfrak{p}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ is a prime ideal, and vice versa.*

Proof. 1. Suppose that the algebra A is not prime. Then $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = 0$ for some nonzero ideals $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ of the algebra A. The ideals $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathfrak{b}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ are nonzero. Since their product is zero the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ is not prime.

In order to finish the proof it suffices to show that if the algebra A is prime then so is the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be a nonzero ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$. Then $F_n\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$, by Proposition 4.1.(2). Note that $F_n\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Let $u = E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes a + \cdots + E_{\sigma\rho} \otimes a'$ be a nonzero element of $F_n\mathfrak{a}$ where $E_{\alpha\beta}, \ldots, E_{\sigma\rho}$ are distinct matrix units; $a, \ldots, a' \in A$, and $a \neq 0$. Then $0 \neq E_{\alpha\beta} \otimes a = E_{\alpha\alpha} u E_{\beta\beta} \in \mathfrak{a}$, and so $F_n \otimes AaA \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$. Similarly, $F_n \otimes AbA \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ for some nonzero element $b \in A$. Then

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} \supseteq F_n \otimes AaA \cdot F_n \otimes AbA = F_n \otimes (AaA \cdot AbA) \neq 0$$

since $F_n = \bigoplus_{\alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n} KE_{\alpha\beta}$ and $AaA \cdot AbA \neq 0$ (A is a prime algebra). Therefore, $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A$ is a prime algebra.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1 since $\mathbb{S}_n \otimes A/(\mathbb{S}_n \otimes \mathfrak{p}) \simeq \mathbb{S}_n \otimes (A/\mathfrak{p})$. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$. Then the factor algebra

$$\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq (\mathbb{S}_1/F)^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} K[x_i, x_i^{-1}] = K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_n, x_n^{-1}] =: L_n \qquad (19)$$

is a Laurent polynomial algebra in n variables, and so $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is a prime ideal of co-height n of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The algebra L_n is commutative, and so

$$[a, b] \in \mathfrak{a}_n \ \text{ for all } \ a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n.$$

Since $\eta(\mathfrak{a}_n) = \mathfrak{a}_n$, the involution of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ induces the *automorphism* $\overline{\eta}$ of the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$ by the rule:

$$\overline{\eta} : L_n \to L_n, \ \ x_i \mapsto x_i^{-1}, \ \ i = 1, \ldots, n.$$

It follows that $\eta(ab) - \eta(a)\eta(b) \in \mathfrak{a}_n$ for all elements $a, b \in \mathbb{S}_n$. For each subset $\mathcal{N}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$, consider the epimorphism

$$\pi_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{N}} \simeq L_{\mathcal{N}}, \ \ a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{N}}, \qquad (20)$$

where $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is defined in (16). By Proposition 4.3.(2), there is the injection

$$\mathrm{spec}(L_{C\mathcal{N}}) \to \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n), \ \ \mathfrak{q} \mapsto \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}).$$

The image of this injection is denoted by

$$\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathcal{N}) := \{\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{spec}(L_{C\mathcal{N}})\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathcal{N}) \cap \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathcal{M}) = \emptyset$ if $\mathcal{N} \neq \mathcal{M}$ (see also Lemma 4.10 for details). Note that $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \emptyset) = \{\pi_{\{1,\ldots,n\}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{spec}(L_n)\} \simeq \mathrm{spec}(L_n)$ and $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \{1, \ldots, n\}) = \{0\}$ since $\pi_{\emptyset} : K \to K$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda$.

The next theorem shows that all the prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ can be obtained in this way.

Theorem 4.4 1. $\mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n) = \coprod_{\mathcal{N} \subseteq \{1,\ldots,n\}} \mathrm{spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathcal{N})$, *the disjoint union.*

2. *Each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ can be uniquely written as $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ for some subset $\mathcal{N}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$.*

Proof. To prove the theorem it suffices to show that each nonzero prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ belongs to the union (statement 2 follows from statement 1, and uniqueness is obvious). To prove this we use induction on n. The case $n = 1$ is obvious (Proposition 2.5.(6)). So, let $n > 1$. By Lemma 4.2, $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$ for some i since $\mathfrak{p}$ is a nonzero prime ideal. Up to permutation of the indices $1, \ldots, n$, we may assume that $i = n$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Therefore, $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} := \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{p}_n$ is a prime ideal of the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$. The elements of the algebra $K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ are central in the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ is a subalgebra of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$ which is the localization of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ at the *central* multiplicative set $S := K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]\backslash\{0\}$ all the elements of which are regular. Note that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$ is the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}(K(x_n))$ over the field $K(x_n)$ of rational functions. The localization $S^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \overline{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]} K(x_n)$ of the nonzero prime ideal $\overline{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}]$ is a nonzero prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$ since the set S is central. There are two cases to consider: either $S^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$ or $S^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$.

In the first case, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ contains the prime ideal $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ for some maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1(n)$ (see Proposition 2.5.(6,7)), and so $\mathfrak{p}/(\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ is a prime ideal of the factor algebra

$$\mathbb{S}_n/(\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \simeq (\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n))/(\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes (\mathbb{S}_1(n)/\mathfrak{m}) \simeq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}$$

over the field $K_{\mathfrak{m}} := \mathbb{S}_1(n)/\mathfrak{m}$ which is a finite field extension of the field K (Proposition 2.5.(6)). The algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ over the field $K_{\mathfrak{m}}$. By induction, $\mathfrak{p}/(\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C'\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ for some subset $\mathcal{N}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of the algebra $L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}$ where $C'\mathcal{N} := \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\backslash\mathcal{N}$ and

$$\pi_{C'\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}} \to L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Consider the commutative diagram of the algebras

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n) \arrow[r, "\pi_{C\mathcal{N}}"] \arrow[d, "f"] & L_{C\mathcal{N}} = L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}] \arrow[d, "g"] \\
\mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}} \arrow[r, "\pi_{C'\mathcal{N}}"] & L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where all four maps are obvious epimorphisms (and $C\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, n\}\backslash\mathcal{N}$). Then $\mathfrak{q}' := g^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. It follows from $(1 \otimes f)(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}/(\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C'\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ (where $1 \otimes f : \mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K_{\mathfrak{m}}$) that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes f^{-1}\pi_{C'\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(g^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}').$$

So, the result is true in the first case.

It remains to consider the second case when $S^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \neq \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$. Note that $\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n)$ is the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}(K(x_n))$ over the field $K(x_n)$. By induction, $S^{-1}\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C'\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ for some subset $\mathcal{N}$ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of the algebra $L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n)$ where $C'\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, n-1\}\backslash\mathcal{N}$ and

$$\pi_{C'\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n) \to L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n).$$

Consider the commutative diagram of the algebras

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\varphi"] \arrow[d, "u"] & \mathbb{S}_{n-1} \otimes K(x_n) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n) \arrow[d, "v"] \\
\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes L_{C\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}] \arrow[r, "\psi"] & \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n)
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are localization maps (they are injective) and the vertical maps are epimorphisms (the maps u and v are determined in the obvious way by the epimorphism $\pi_{C'\mathcal{N}} : \mathbb{S}_{C'\mathcal{N}} \to L_{C'\mathcal{N}}$). Since $\overline{\mathfrak{p}} \cap S = \emptyset$ and the set S is central,

$$\overline{\mathfrak{p}} = \varphi^{-1}v^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathfrak{q}) = u^{-1}\psi^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathfrak{q}) = u^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \psi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}))$$

where $\psi_1 : L_{C\mathcal{N}} = L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K[x_n, x_n^{-1}] \to L_{C'\mathcal{N}} \otimes K(x_n)$ is the localization map, i.e. the restriction of the map ψ to the subalgebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$ of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. The ideal $\mathfrak{q}' := \psi_1^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Now, $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}')$. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\Box$

Theorem 4.5 *The map* $\mathrm{Max}(L_n) \to \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_n)$, $\mathfrak{m} \mapsto \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$, *is a bijection where* $\pi : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq L_n$, $a \mapsto a + \mathfrak{a}_n$, *i.e.* $\mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{S}_n, \emptyset) = \{\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}) \mid \mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Max}(L_n)\}$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 4.4 and the fact that the algebras $\mathbb{S}_i$, $i \geq 1$, are not simple. $\Box$

A prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ of an algebra A is called a *completely prime* ideal if the factor algebra $A/\mathfrak{p}$ is a domain.

Corollary 4.6 *A prime ideal* $\mathfrak{p}$ *of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is completely prime iff* $\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.4.(2), $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$. The factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p} \simeq \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes (\mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}/\pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}))$ is a domain iff $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$, i.e. $\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. $\Box$

Corollary 4.7 $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m} \in \mathrm{Max}(\mathbb{S}_n)} \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Proof. The statement follows at once from Theorem 4.5 and the fact that $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{m}' \in \mathrm{Max}(L_n)} \mathfrak{m}' = 0$. $\Box$

The next corollary gives all the primes of $\mathbb{S}_n$ that are contained in the prime ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$. It turns out they are precisely the primes of the type $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(0)$ for all possible subsets $\mathcal{N}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Corollary 4.8 1. *The set* $\{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} := \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{p}_i \mid \mathcal{N} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ *is the set of all the prime ideals of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *contained in the prime ideal* $\mathfrak{a}_n$, *it contains precisely* 2^n *elements;* $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(0)$; $\mathfrak{p}_{\emptyset} := 0$; $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}$ *iff* $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$.

2. $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}^2 = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$ *for all* $\mathcal{N}$.

3. $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}$ *iff* $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

4. *If* $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}$ *then* $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

5. $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}) = |\mathcal{N}|$ *for all* $\mathcal{N}$. *In particular,* $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{a}_n) = n$.

Proof. 1. By Theorem 4.4, each prime ideal that is contained in the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is of the type $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(0) = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathfrak{a}_{C\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}}$, and vice versa. By the very definition, $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}}$ iff $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{M}$ ($\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(0)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{M}} \otimes \pi_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}(0)$).

2. $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{p}_i = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{p}_i^2 \subseteq (\sum_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{p}_i)(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}} \mathfrak{p}_j) = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$, hence $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}^2$.

3. Statement 3 is obvious.

4. $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}} \supseteq \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$, and so $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{M}} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

5. By statements 1 and 3, $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}) \leq |\mathcal{N}|$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \{i_1, \ldots, i_s\}$. Then $0 \subset \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\{i_1, i_2\}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the strictly descending chain of primes of length $|\mathcal{N}|$, and so $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}) = |\mathcal{N}|$. $\Box$

An ideal I of an algebra A is called an *idempotent* ideal if $I^2 = I$. The next corollary gives all the idempotent prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. All the idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are found (Theorem 7.1) and their properties are studied in Section 7.

Corollary 4.9 *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The following are equivalent.*

1. *$\mathfrak{p}$ is an idempotent ideal;*
2. *the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is contained in all the maximal ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$;*
3. *the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is a sum of height one prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}$ (Corollary 4.8).*

So, the set of all the idempotent prime ideals $\{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}} \,|\, \mathcal{N} \subseteq \{1, \ldots, n\}\}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ contains precisely 2^n elements and its Krull dimension is n.

Proof. $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be an idempotent prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. Then its image $\mathfrak{p}'$ under the epimorphism $\mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq L_n$ is an idempotent ideal, hence either $\mathfrak{p}' = 0$ or $\mathfrak{p}' = L_n$. The second case is impossible since the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_n$ is contained in all the maximal ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (Corollary 4.7), and so the sum $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}_n$ is contained in all the maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$. This contradicts to the fact that $\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathbb{S}_n$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}' \neq L_n$, and so $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$. By Corollary 4.7, the ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ is contained in all the maximal ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.

$(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ See Corollary 4.7 and Corollary 4.8.

$(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ See Corollary 4.8.

The rest follows directly from Corollary 4.8. $\Box$

Let $\mathcal{N}_1$ and $\mathcal{N}_2$ be subsets of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{N}_2$, and so $C\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq C\mathcal{N}_2$. Consider the commutative diagram of algebra homomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}_1} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\pi_{C\mathcal{N}_1}"] & \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}_2} \arrow[d, "\pi_{C\mathcal{N}_2}"] \\
L_{C\mathcal{N}_1} \arrow[r] & L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the horizontal maps are natural monomorphisms. The next lemma gives necessary and sufficient conditions for one prime ideal to contain another prime ideal. It is instrumental in the proofs of Theorems 4.11 and 4.13.

Lemma 4.10 *Let $\mathfrak{p}_1' = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2' = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$ be prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. Then*

1. *$\mathfrak{p}_1' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2'$ iff $C\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq C\mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$ (recall that $L_{C\mathcal{N}_1} \subseteq L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$).*
2. *$\mathfrak{p}_1' = \mathfrak{p}_2'$ iff $C\mathcal{N}_1 = C\mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_2$.*
3. *$\mathfrak{p}_1' \subset \mathfrak{p}_2'$ iff either $C\mathcal{N}_1 \subset C\mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$ or $C\mathcal{N}_1 = C\mathcal{N}_2$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subset \mathfrak{q}_2$.*

Proof. 1. $(\Rightarrow)$ Suppose that $\mathfrak{p}_1' \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2'$. Note that $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(0) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1'$. Moreover, $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathfrak{p}_1' \cap \mathfrak{a}_n$. Similarly, $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_2} = \mathfrak{p}_2' \cap \mathfrak{a}_n$, and so $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$. By Corollary 4.8.(3), $C\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq C\mathcal{N}_2$. Then $L_{C\mathcal{N}_1} \subseteq L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$ and

$$\mathfrak{a}_{C\mathcal{N}_2} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \subseteq \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(\mathfrak{q}_2) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}.$$

Taking the inclusions modulo the ideal $\mathfrak{a}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$, we have $L_{C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2 \subseteq L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}$ and so $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$.

$(\Leftarrow)$ This implication is obvious.

2. $(\Rightarrow)$ If $\mathfrak{p}_1' = \mathfrak{p}_2'$ then

$$\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_1} = \mathfrak{p}_1' \cap \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{p}_2' \cap \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}_2},$$

and so $C\mathcal{N}_1 = C\mathcal{N}_2$ (Corollary 4.8.(1)), and finally $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_2$.

$(\Leftarrow)$ This implication is obvious.

3. Statement 3 is an easy corollary of statements 1 and 2. $\Box$

Theorem 4.11 *The classical Krull dimension of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is $2n$.*

Proof. Since $\mathrm{Kdim}(L_{\mathcal{N}}) = |\mathcal{N}|$ for all $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) \leq 2n$, by Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.10. In fact, $\mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) = 2n$ as the following strictly ascending chain of prime ideals of length $2n$ shows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\subset \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_1 + \mathfrak{p}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{a}_n := \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n \\ &\subset \mathfrak{a}_n + (x_1 - 1) \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{a}_n + (x_1 - 1, \dots, x_n - 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

For an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of a ring, let $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ denote the set of the minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$.

Theorem 4.12 *For each ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, the set $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ of minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$ is a finite, non-empty set.*

Proof. By Theorem 4.11, $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \neq \emptyset$. By Theorem 4.4.(2), it suffices to show that, for each subset $\mathcal{N}$ of the index set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, there are no more than finitely many (may be none) minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$ of the type $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{q}$ of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Suppose that this is not the case. Then for some subset $\mathcal{N}$ there are infinitely many distinct minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$, say $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\{\mathfrak{q}_1', \dots, \mathfrak{q}_s'\}$ be the minimal primes of the ideal $\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{q}_i$ in the (commutative Noetherian) algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Then

$$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\bigcap_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathfrak{q}_i) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_j'), \;\; j = 1, \dots, s,$$

and the ideals $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_j')$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are prime. Then each ideal $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$, must be equal to one of the ideals $\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}_j')$, a contradiction. $\square$

The next theorem provides formulae for the height and co-height of primes of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. They are used in the proof of the fact that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is catenary (Theorem 4.15).

Theorem 4.13 *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, i.e. $\mathfrak{p} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ (Theorem 4.4). Then*

1. $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) = |C\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$.
2. $\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) = 2|\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$.
3. $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$.

Proof. 1. By Lemma 4.10.(3), $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq |C\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$. Note that the prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}} = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(0)$ has height $|C\mathcal{N}|$ (Corollary 4.8.(5)) and $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. The length of the maximal chain of prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ lying between the ideals $\mathfrak{p}_{C\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ is at least $\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$. Hence $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq |C\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$. This proves the result.

2.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) &= \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \mathbb{S}_{C\mathcal{N}}/(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes \pi_{C\mathcal{N}}^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}))) \\ &= \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes (L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q})) \geq \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}) + \mathrm{Kdim}(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q}) \;\; \text{(by Proposition 4.3)} \\ &= 2|\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}) \;\; \text{(by Theorem 4.11).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) \geq 2|\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$. The reverse inequality follows from the chain of inequalities below which turn out to be equalities since the both ends are identical:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) &= 2n = 2(|C\mathcal{N}| + |\mathcal{N}|) = |C\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{Kdim}(L_{C\mathcal{N}}) + 2|\mathcal{N}| \\ &= |C\mathcal{N}| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}) + \mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}) + 2|\mathcal{N}| \\ &= \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}) + 2|\mathcal{N}| \quad \text{(by statement 1)} \\ &\leq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq \mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n). \end{aligned}$$

3. By statements 2 and 3,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})+\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}) &= |C\mathcal{N}|+\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})+\mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})+2|\mathcal{N}|\\ &= |C\mathcal{N}|+|C\mathcal{N}|+2|\mathcal{N}| = 2(|C\mathcal{N}|+|\mathcal{N}|)=2n=\mathrm{cl.Kdim}(\mathbb{S}_n).\ \square\end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}=\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}}(\mathfrak{q})$ be a prime ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ (see Theorem 4.4). Let $s=|C\mathcal{N}|$, $C\mathcal{N}=\{i_1,\dots,i_s\}$, $t=\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$, and $0\subset\mathfrak{q}_1\subset\mathfrak{q}_2\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{q}_t=\mathfrak{q}$ be a strictly ascending chain of primes of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Then the strictly ascending chain of primes in $\mathbb{S}_n$ has length $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p})$:

$$\begin{aligned}0 \ \subset\ & \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\subset\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}+\mathfrak{p}_{i_2}\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{p}_{i_s}=\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}}(0)\subset\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}}(\mathfrak{q}_1)\subset\\ \cdots\ & \subset\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}}(\mathfrak{q}_t)=\mathfrak{p}.\end{aligned}$$

Let $k=|\mathcal{N}|$, $\mathcal{N}=\{j_1,\dots,j_k\}$, $l=\mathrm{cht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q})$, and $\mathfrak{q}\subset\mathfrak{q}'_1\subset\mathfrak{q}'_2\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{q}'_l$ be a strictly ascending chain of primes of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}}$. Let $I_i:=\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}}}(\mathfrak{q}'_i)$. Then $I_0:=\mathfrak{p}\subset I_1\subset\cdots\subset I_l$ is the strictly ascending chain of primes in $\mathbb{S}_n$. Consider the following strictly ascending chain of primes in $\mathbb{S}_n$ (see the proof of Theorem 4.11):

$$\begin{aligned}0\ \subset\ & \mathfrak{p}_{j_1}\subset\mathfrak{p}_{j_1}+\mathfrak{p}_{j_2}\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}:=\mathfrak{p}_{j_1}+\cdots+\mathfrak{p}_{j_k}\\ \subset\ & \mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}+(x_{j_1}-1)\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_{\mathcal{N}}+(x_{j_1}-1,\dots,x_{j_k}-1).\end{aligned}$$

Let us denote these ideals as $J_0:=0\subset J_1\subset\cdots\subset J_{2k}$. Then the strictly ascending chain of primes in $\mathbb{S}_n$ has length $\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p})$:

$$\mathfrak{p}=I_0+J_0\subset I_0+J_1\subset\cdots\subset I_0+J_{2k}\subset I_1+J_{2k}\subset\cdots\subset I_l+J_{2k}.$$

In more detail, for each $s=1,\dots,k$,

$$\mathbb{S}_n/(I_0+J_s)\simeq\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}\backslash\{j_1,\dots,j_s\}}\otimes L_{\{j_1,\dots,j_s\}}\otimes(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q})$$

is a prime algebra, by Proposition 4.3.(1) (since $L_{\{j_1,\dots,j_s\}}\otimes(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime algebra).

For each $s=k+1,\dots,2k$, (i.e. $s=k+t$ where $t=1,\dots,k$):

$$\mathbb{S}_n/(I_0+J_{k+t})\simeq L_{\{j_{t+1},\dots,j_k\}}\otimes(L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q})$$

is a prime algebra. For each $i=1,\dots,l$, $\mathbb{S}_n/(I_i+J_{2k})\simeq L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{q}'_i$ is a prime algebra.

Let A be an algebra and $\mathfrak{p}_1$, $\mathfrak{p}_2$ be its prime ideals such that $\mathfrak{p}_1\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_2$. The *relative height* $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2,\mathfrak{p}_1)$ is the maximum of lengths of strictly ascending chains of prime ideals of the type $\mathfrak{p}_1\subset\mathfrak{q}_2\subset\mathfrak{q}_3\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{q}_s\subset\mathfrak{p}_2$. If $\mathfrak{p}_1\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_2\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_3$ then

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_3,\mathfrak{p}_1)\geq\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_3,\mathfrak{p}_2)+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2,\mathfrak{p}_1).$$

Let $\mathfrak{p}_1\subset\mathfrak{p}_2\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_s$ be a chain of prime ideals of the algebra A. Consider a second chain of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1\subset\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\subset\mathfrak{p}_{i_2}\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_{i_t}\subset\mathfrak{p}_s$ where $1<i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_t<s$ which is obtained from the first chain by possibly deleting some of its intermediate terms. We say that the first chain is a *refinement* of the second chain.

Definition. An algebra A of finite classical Krull dimension is called a *catenary* algebra if each chain of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1\subset\mathfrak{p}_2\subset\cdots\subset\mathfrak{p}_s$ admits a refinement of length $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_s,\mathfrak{p}_1)$.

The algebras P_n and L_n are catenary.

Proposition 4.14 *Let $\mathfrak{p}_i=\mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_i}\otimes\pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_i}(\mathfrak{q}_i)$, $i=1,2,3$, be prime ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_1\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_2\subseteq\mathfrak{p}_3$. Then*

1. $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2,\mathfrak{p}_1)=\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2)-\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1)=\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}_1)-\mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}_2)=|\mathcal{N}_1|-|\mathcal{N}_2|+\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2)-\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$.

2. (Additivity of the relative height function): $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_3, \mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_3, \mathfrak{p}_2) + \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1)$.

Proof. 1. By Theorem 4.13.(3), $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1) + \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}_1) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2) + \mathrm{cht}(\mathfrak{p}_2)$, and so the second equality follows. The third equality follows from Theorem 4.13.(1),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2) - \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1) &= |C\mathcal{N}_2| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - |C\mathcal{N}_1| - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \\ &= |\mathcal{N}_1| - |\mathcal{N}_2| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, 0) \geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) + \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1, 0) = \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) + \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$, and so $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) \leq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2) - \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_1)$. It remains to prove the inverse inequality, i.e.

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) \geq |C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1| + \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1).$$

First, we consider two special cases, and then the general case can be deduced from these two special ones.

Case 1: $C\mathcal{N}_1 = C\mathcal{N}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$, $\mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(\mathfrak{q}_2)$, and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_2$. We may assume that $\mathfrak{q}_1 \neq \mathfrak{q}_2$. If $\mathfrak{q}_1 \subset \mathfrak{q}'_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{q}'_s \subset \mathfrak{q}_2$ is a strictly ascending chain of primes of the algebra $L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}$ then the induced chain $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}'_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}'_s \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$ (where $\mathfrak{p}'_i := \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}'_i)$) is a strictly ascending chain of primes of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$, and so

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) \geq \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_2, \mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1),$$

as required.

Case 2: $C\mathcal{N}_1 \subseteq C\mathcal{N}_2$ and $L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_2$. Then $\mathcal{N}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{N}_2$. We may assume that $\mathcal{N}_1 \neq \mathcal{N}_2$. Fix a chain of sets $\mathcal{N}_1 \supset \mathcal{M}_2 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{M}_t \supset \mathcal{N}_2$ such that the number of elements of each successor is one less than of its predecessor. By Lemma 4.10.(3), we have the strictly ascending chain of primes in $\mathbb{S}_n$:

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}'_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}'_t \subset \mathfrak{p}_2$$

where $\mathfrak{p}'_i := \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{M}_i} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{M}_i}(L_{C\mathcal{M}_i}\mathfrak{q}_1)$, and so

$$\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) \geq |C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1| = |C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1| + \mathrm{ht}L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}\mathfrak{q}_1) - \mathrm{ht}L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}_1),$$

as required since $\mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}\mathfrak{q}_1) = \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1)$.

In general case, we have the inclusions of the prime ideals (Lemma 4.10):

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_1} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_1}(\mathfrak{q}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{p} := \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}\mathfrak{q}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_2 = \mathbb{S}_{\mathcal{N}_2} \otimes \pi^{-1}_{C\mathcal{N}_2}(\mathfrak{q}_2),$$

where the first inclusion is Case 2, and the second inclusion is Case 1.

Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}_1) &\geq \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_2, \mathfrak{p}) + \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_1) \geq \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}\mathfrak{q}_1) + |C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1| \\ &= \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_2}}(\mathfrak{q}_2) - \mathrm{ht}_{L_{C\mathcal{N}_1}}(\mathfrak{q}_1) + |C\mathcal{N}_2 \backslash C\mathcal{N}_1|,\end{aligned}$$

as required.

2. Statement 2 follows at once from statement 1. $\square$

Theorem 4.15 *The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a catenary algebra.*

Proof. The fact that the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a catenary algebra follows at once from the additivity of the relative height function (Proposition 4.14.(2)). In more detail, given a chain of prime ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathfrak{p}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{p}_s$. Then $\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_s, \mathfrak{p}_1) = \sum_{i=2}^{s} \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{p}_{i-1})$. So, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is catenary. $\square$

5 Left or right Noetherian factor algebras of $\mathbb{S}_n$

The aim of this short section is to give answers (Theorem 5.1) to the following two questions:

Question 1. For which ideals I of $\mathbb{S}_n$, the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is a left or right Noetherian algebra?

Question 2. For which ideals I of $\mathbb{S}_n$, the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is a commutative algebra?

Example. $I = \mathfrak{a}_n$ since $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq L_n$ is a commutative Noetherian algebra.

Theorem 5.1 *Let I be an ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The following are equivalent.*

1. *The factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is a left Noetherian algebra.*
2. *The factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is a right Noetherian algebra.*
3. *The factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is a commutative algebra.*
4. $\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq I$.

Proof. It suffices to show that $1 \Leftrightarrow 3 \Leftrightarrow 4$ since then applying the involution η we have the equivalences $2 \Leftrightarrow 4$ since $\eta(\mathfrak{a}_n) = \mathfrak{a}_n$.

$(4 \Rightarrow 3)$ The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is commutative as a factor algebra of the commutative algebra $L_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$.

$(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ Trivial.

$(1 \Rightarrow 4)$ Suppose that the factor algebra $\mathbb{S}_n/I$ is left Noetherian. We have to show that $\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq I$. Since $\mathfrak{a}_n = \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$, we have to show that all $\mathfrak{p}_i \subseteq I$. By symmetry of the indices $1, \ldots, n$, it suffices to show that $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq I$. By Lemma 2.6.(1), $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 = F \otimes I_{n-1}$ for some ideal I_{n-1} of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Then

$$\mathbb{S}_n/I \supset \mathfrak{p}_1/(I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1) = F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}/(F \otimes I_{n-1}) \simeq F \otimes (\mathbb{S}_{n-1}/I_{n-1}),$$

and so $F \otimes (\mathbb{S}_{n-1}/I_{n-1})$ is a Noetherian $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. Since the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module F is an infinite direct sum of nonzero submodules, we deduce that $I_{n-1} = \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Then $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 = F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1} = \mathfrak{p}_1$, and so $\mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq I$, as required. $\square$

6 The weak and global dimensions of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$

In this section, it is shown that the weak dimension and the left and right global dimensions of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are equal to n (Theorem 6.6 and Corollary 6.8); the projective dimensions of all simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules M are found explicitly (Theorem 6.11), and it is shown that $\mathrm{pd}(M) + \mathrm{GK}\,(M) = \mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$ (Corollary 6.12).

It follows from the decomposition of the vector space $\mathbb{S}_n = P_n \oplus (\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i)$ that

$$_{\mathbb{S}_n}P_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/(\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_n y_i). \qquad (21)$$

Proposition 6.1 1. *The left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module P_n is projective.*

2. *$F_n := F^{\otimes n}$ is a left and right projective $\mathbb{S}_n$-module.*
3. *The projective dimension of the left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n/F_n$ is 1.*
4. *For each element $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$, the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathbb{S}_n y^\alpha$ is projective.*

Proof. 1. The fact that the left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module P_n is projective follows at once from the decomposition

$$\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{S}_1 y \oplus \mathbb{S}_1 E_{00}, \tag{22}$$

the fact that $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1^{\otimes n}$ and that ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1 E_{00} \simeq K[x]$. In more detail, by (22),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_n &= \mathbb{S}_1(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n) = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} (\mathbb{S}_1(i) y_i \oplus \mathbb{S}_1(i) E_{00}(i)) = \mathbb{S}_n E_{00}(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{00}(n) \bigoplus (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{S}_n y_i) \\ &\simeq P_n \bigoplus (\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{S}_n y_i) \end{aligned}$$

since ${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}P_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n E_{00}(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes E_{00}(n)$, and so P_n is a projective $\mathbb{S}_n$-module.

In order to prove (22), let us consider the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0 \to \mathbb{S}_1 \overset{r_y}{\to} \mathbb{S}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1/\mathbb{S}_1 y \simeq K[x] \to 0$$

where $r_y(a) = ay$ (note that ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1 y \simeq \mathbb{S}_1$, by Lemma 2.4.(2)). The homomorphism r_y admits a splitting given by the homomorphism $r_x : \mathbb{S}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1$, i.e. $r_x r_y = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_1}$ since $yx = 1$. Now, $\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathbb{S}_1 y \bigoplus \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_1} - r_y r_x)$. Since $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{S}_1} - r_y r_x = r_{1-xy} = r_{E_{00}}$, the decomposition (22) becomes obvious.

2. Note that $F = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} \mathbb{S}_1 E_{ii} \simeq \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} P_1$ (the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module F is a direct sum of infinite number of copies of the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module P_1). Now,

$${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}F_n \simeq F^{\otimes n} \simeq \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} P_n. \tag{23}$$

By statement 1, the left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module F_n is projective. Using the involution η and the fact that $\eta(F_n) = F_n$, we see that the right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module F_n is projective.

3. The short exact sequence of left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules $0 \to F_n \to \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/F_n \to 0$ does not split since F_n is an essential left and right submodule of $\mathbb{S}_n$ (Proposition 4.1.(3)). By statement 1, the projective dimension of the left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n/F_n$ is 1.

4. Let $\mathbb{Z}^n = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{Z} e_i$ where $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ is the canonical free $\mathbb{Z}$-basis for $\mathbb{Z}^n$. Let $m = |\alpha|$. Fix a chain of elements of $\mathbb{Z}^n$, $\beta_0 = 0, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_m = \alpha$ such that, for all i, $\beta_{i+1} = \beta_i + e_j$ for some index $j = j(i)$. Then all the factors of the chain of left ideals

$$\mathbb{S}_n y^{\alpha} = \mathbb{S}_n y^{\beta_m} \subset \mathbb{S}_n y^{\beta_{m-1}} \subset \cdots \subset \mathbb{S}_n y^{\beta_1} \subset \mathbb{S}_n$$

are projective $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules since $\mathbb{S}_n y^{\beta_i}/\mathbb{S}_n y^{\beta_{i+1}} \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/\mathbb{S}_n y_j \simeq K[x_j] \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is the projective $\mathbb{S}_n$-module (statement 1). Therefore, the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathbb{S}_n y^{\alpha}$ is projective. $\square$

We are interested in the homological properties of the algebra $L_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n$ as a left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. For a ring R and modules ${}_RM$ and N_R, we denote by $\mathrm{pd}({}_RM)$ and $\mathrm{pd}(N_R)$ their projective dimensions.

Proposition 6.2 $\mathrm{pd}({}_{\mathbb{S}_1}L_1) = \mathrm{pd}(L_{1\mathbb{S}_1}) = 1$.

Proof. Due to the involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ and the fact that $\eta(F) = F$ it suffices to prove only the first statement, i.e. $\mathrm{pd}({}_{\mathbb{S}_1}L_1) = 1$.

Recall that the left S_1-module F is projective (Proposition 6.1). So, the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules

$$0 \to F \to \mathbb{S}_1 \to \mathbb{S}_1/F \simeq L_1 \to 0 \tag{24}$$

is a projective resolution for the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module L_1. It suffices to prove that the short exact sequence does not split (this fact then implies that $\mathrm{pd}({}_{\mathbb{S}_1}L_1) = 1$). Suppose that this is not the case. Then there exists a homomorphism $\mathbb{S}_1 \to F$, $1 \mapsto f$, such that $af = a$ for all elements $a \in F$, or, equivalently, $F(f-1) = 0$. By Proposition 2.5.(2), $f = 1 \in F$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\mathrm{pd}({}_{\mathbb{S}_1}L_1) = 1$. $\square$

A module is called a *cyclic* module if it is generated by a single element.

Lemma 6.3 *The projective dimension of all the nonzero cyclic left or right* $\mathbb{S}_1$*-modules annihilated by the ideal* F *is 1.*

Proof. In view of the involution η of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ it suffices to prove the result, say, for left modules since $\eta(F)=F$.

Let M be a nonzero cyclic $\mathbb{S}_1$-module which is annihilated by the ideal F. This means that M is a cyclic L_1-module since $\mathbb{S}_1/F\simeq L_1=K[x,x^{-1}]$, and so either $M\simeq L_1$ or, otherwise, $M\simeq M_a:=L_1/L_1a$ for some non-scalar polynomial $a=a(x)\in K[x]$ such that $a(0)\neq 0$ (Proposition 2.5.(4)). By Proposition 6.2, $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(L_1)=1$. So, let $M=M_a$. We claim that

$$\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(a):=\{b\in\mathbb{S}_1\,|\,ba=0\}=0. \tag{25}$$

Let $I:=\mathrm{l.ann}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(a)$. Since the element a is a regular element of the algebra L_1, it follows from the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules: $0\to F\to\mathbb{S}_1\to L_1\to 0$ that $I\subseteq F$. Since $E_{ij}x=E_{i,j-1}$ (see (9)), $a(0)\neq 0$, and $F=\bigoplus_{i,j\geq 0}KE_{ij}$, we see that $I=0$, as required. By (25), ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1a\simeq\mathbb{S}_1$ and ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}F\simeq Fa$. Since ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}F\simeq Fa\subseteq F\cap\mathbb{S}_1a\subseteq F\simeq P_1^{(\mathbb{N})}$, we see that ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}F\cap\mathbb{S}_1a\simeq P_1^{(\mathbb{N})}\simeq F$. Using this fact we can produce a splitting to the homomorphism α in the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0\to F\cap\mathbb{S}_1a\overset{\alpha}{\to}F\oplus\mathbb{S}_1a\overset{\beta}{\to}F+\mathbb{S}_1a\to 0,\quad \alpha(u)=(u,-u),\quad \beta(u,v)=u+v.$$

Therefore, the $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules $F+\mathbb{S}_1a$ is projective, and so the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0\to F+\mathbb{S}_1a\to\mathbb{S}_1\to\mathbb{S}_1/(F+\mathbb{S}_1a)\simeq M_a\to 0 \tag{26}$$

is a projective resolution for the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module M_a. This sequence is not split since the algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ does not contain finite dimensional left ideals. Therefore, $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(M_a)=1$. $\square$

Let l.gldim and r.gldim stand for the left and right global dimension of algebra respectively.

Theorem 6.4 $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=\mathrm{r.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=1$.

Proof. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_1$ is self-dual, and so $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=\mathrm{r.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)$. Let us prove that $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=1$. Note that

$$\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=\max\{\mathrm{pd}(\mathbb{S}_1/I)\,|\,0\neq I\subseteq{}_{\mathbb{S}_1}\mathbb{S}_1\}.$$

Using the 3×3 Lemma, the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules: $0\to F\to\mathbb{S}_1\to L_1\to 0$ and the inclusion $I\subseteq\mathbb{S}_1$ yield the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0\to F/(F\cap I)\to\mathbb{S}_1/I\to\mathbb{S}_1/(F+I)\simeq L_1/I'\to 0$$

for some ideal I' of the algebra L_1. The $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $F/(F\cap I)$ is either a zero one or, otherwise, is isomorphic to a direct sum of several copies (may be infinitely many) of the projective $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $K[x]$ (Proposition 6.1), hence $\mathrm{pd}(F/(F\cap I))=0$, in this case. Then $\mathrm{pd}(\mathbb{S}_1/I)\leq\mathrm{pd}(L_1/I')\leq 1$ (Lemma 6.3). Therefore, $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1)=1$. $\square$

The weak and global dimensions of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. Let S be a non-empty multiplicatively closed subset of a ring R, and let $\mathrm{ass}(S):=\{r\in R\,|\,sr=0$ for some $s\in S\}$. Then a *left quotient ring* of R with respect to S is a ring Q together with a homomorphism $\varphi:R\to Q$ such that

(i) for all $s\in S$, $\varphi(s)$ is a unit in Q;
(ii) for all $q\in Q$, $q=\varphi(s)^{-1}\varphi(r)$ for some $r\in R$ and $s\in S$, and
(iii) $\ker(\varphi)=\mathrm{ass}(S)$.

If there exists a left quotient ring Q of R with respect to S then it is unique up to isomorphism, and it is denoted $S^{-1}R$. It is also said that the ring Q is the *left localization* of the ring R at S.

Example 1. Let $S := S_y := \{y^i, i \geq 0\}$ and $R = \mathbb{S}_1$. By (8), $\mathrm{ass}(S) = F$, and, by (12), $\mathbb{S}_1/\mathrm{ass}(S) \simeq K[y, y^{-1}]$. This means that the ring $L_1 = \mathbb{S}_1/F$ is the left quotient ring of $\mathbb{S}_1$ at S.

Example 2. Let $S_{y_1,\ldots,y_n} := \{y^\alpha, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n\}$ and $R = \mathbb{S}_n$. Then $\mathrm{ass}(S_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}) = \mathfrak{a}_n$ and $\mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq L_n$. Therefore,

$$S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}\mathbb{S}_n \simeq L_n, \qquad (27)$$

i.e. L_n is the left quotient ring of $\mathbb{S}_n$ at $S_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}$. Note that the right localization $\mathbb{S}_n S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ at $S_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}$ does not exist. Otherwise, we would have $S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \mathbb{S}_n S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}$ but all the elements y^α are left regular, and we would have a monomorphism $\mathbb{S}_n \to S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}\mathbb{S}_n \simeq L_n$, which would be impossible since the algebra L_n is commutative but the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is not.

Let us recall a result which will be used repeatedly in the proof of Theorem 6.6.

Proposition 6.5 [1]. *Let M be a module over an algebra A, I a non-empty well-ordered set, $\{M_i\}_{i\in I}$ be a family of submodules of M such that if $i, j \in I$ and $i \leq j$ then $M_i \subseteq M_j$. If $M = \bigcup_{i\in I} M_i$ and $\mathrm{pd}_A(M_i/M_{<i}) \leq n$ for all $i \in I$ where $M_{<i} := \bigcup_{j<i} M_j$ then $\mathrm{pd}_A(M) \leq n$.*

Let $V \subseteq U \subseteq W$ be modules. Then the factor module U/V is called a *sub-factor* of the module W. Let wdim denote the *weak global dimension.*

Theorem 6.6 $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathrm{r.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) = n$.

Proof. In view of the involution η, $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathrm{r.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$. By (27),

$$n = \mathrm{wdim}(L_n) = \mathrm{wdim}(S^{-1}_{y_1,\ldots,y_n}\mathbb{S}_n) \leq \mathrm{wdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) \leq \mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n). \qquad (28)$$

It remains to prove that $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) \leq n$. Let $\overline{K}$ be the algebraic closure of the field K. Since $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) \leq \mathrm{l.gldim}(\overline{K}\otimes\mathbb{S}_n)$, we may assume that $K = \overline{K}$. To prove the inequality $\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n) \leq n$ we use induction on n. The case $n = 1$ has been considered above (Theorem 6.4). Let $n > 1$, and we assume that the result holds for all $n' < n$. The algebra $K(y) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is the localization of the algebra $K[y, y^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ at the multiplicative set $K[y, y^{-1}]\backslash\{0\}$, hence the algebra $K(y) \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}$ is the left localization $T^{-1}\mathbb{S}_n$ of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ at the multiplicative set $T := K[y]\backslash\{0\}$. By induction, $\mathrm{l.gldim}(T^{-1}\mathbb{S}_n) = n - 1$. Let M be an $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. We have to prove that $\mathrm{pd}(M) \leq n$. To the localization map $\varphi : M \to T^{-1}M$, $m \mapsto m/1$, we attach two short exact sequences of $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules:

$$0 \to M' := \ker(\varphi) \to M \to \overline{M} := \mathrm{im}(\varphi) \to 0, \qquad (29)$$

$$0 \to \overline{M} \to T^{-1}M \to M'' := \mathrm{coker}(\varphi) \to 0. \qquad (30)$$

The $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $M' = \{m \in M \,|\, tm = 0$ for some element $t \in T\}$ is the *T-torsion* submodule of M. To show that $\mathrm{pd}(M) \leq n$ it suffices to show that the projective dimensions of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules M', $T^{-1}M$, and M'' are less or equal to n. Indeed, then by (30), $\mathrm{pd}(\overline{M}) \leq \max\{\mathrm{pd}(T^{-1}M), \mathrm{pd}(M'')\} \leq n$; and, by (29), $\mathrm{pd}(M) \leq \max\{\mathrm{pd}(M'), \mathrm{pd}(\overline{M})\} \leq n$.

An $\mathbb{S}_n$-module N is called a *T-torsion* $\mathbb{S}_n$-module if $T^{-1}N = 0$. The modules M' and M'' are T-torsion. The fact that their projective dimensions are less or equal to n follows from the claim below.

Claim. $\mathrm{pd}(N) \leq n$ *for all T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules N.*

Proof of the Claim. By Theorem 3.1.(1),

$$\widehat{\mathbb{S}}_1 = \{K[x]\} \cup \widehat{K[y, y^{-1}]} = \{K[x], K_\lambda := K[y, y^{-1}]/(y - \lambda) \,|\, \lambda \in K^* := K\backslash\{0\}\},$$

and so each simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-module U is T-torsion with $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(U) \simeq K$ (Lemma 3.1.(2)) since $K = \overline{K}$. Any nonzero T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_1$-module V contains a nonzero submodule V' which is an epimorphic image of the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $M_\lambda := \mathbb{S}_1/\mathbb{S}_1(y - \lambda)$ for some element $\lambda \in K$.

If $\lambda = 0$ then $M_0 = \mathbb{S}_1/\mathbb{S}_1 y \simeq K[x]$ is a simple $\mathbb{S}_1$-module, and so is the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module $V' \simeq K[x]$.

If $\lambda \neq 0$ then it follows from the decomposition $\mathbb{S}_1 = \bigoplus_{i,j\geq 0} Kx^iy^j$ that $M_\lambda = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i\overline{1}$ where $\overline{1} := 1 + \mathbb{S}_1(y-\lambda)$. For all $i \geq 0$,

$$E_{i0}\overline{1} = x^iE_{00}\overline{1} = x^i(1-xy)\overline{1} = x^i(1-\lambda x)\overline{1} \neq 0,$$

and so ${}_{\mathbb{S}_1}K[x] \simeq \mathbb{S}_1E_{00}\overline{1} = \bigoplus_{i\geq 0} Kx^i(1-\lambda x)\overline{1}$. There is the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0 \to K[x] \simeq \mathbb{S}_1E_{00}\overline{1} \to M_\lambda \to K_\lambda \to 0, \tag{31}$$

which is non-split since ${}_{K[x]}M_\lambda \simeq K[x]$. Therefore, the socle of the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module M_λ is $\mathbb{S}_1E_{00} \simeq K[x]$ which is a simple, essential submodule of M_λ. Then either $V' \simeq M_\lambda$ or, otherwise, $V' \simeq K_\lambda$. It follows that the $\mathbb{S}_1$-module V' contains a simple, T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_1$-submodule. Any sub-factor of the T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_n$-module N is a T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_n$-module, and so it contains a nonzero submodule of the type $U \otimes N'$ for some simple, T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_1$-module U and some $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-module N'. By Proposition 6.5,

$$\mathrm{pd}(N) \leq \max\{\mathrm{pd}(U\otimes N') \,|\, U \in \widehat{\mathbb{S}}_1, {}_{\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}N'\} \leq \mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_1) + \mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_{n-1}) = 1 + (n-1) = n.$$

The proof of the Claim is complete.

It remains to show that $\mathrm{pd}(T^{-1}M) \leq n$. By Theorem 6.4, $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K(y)) \leq 1$ where $K(y) = T^{-1}(\mathbb{S}_1/F)$. In fact,

$$\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K(y)) = 1, \tag{32}$$

as it follows from the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1$-modules:

$$0 \to K[y,y^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{S}_1/F \to K(y) \to K(y)/K[y,y^{-1}] \to 0$$

and from Proposition 6.2 (if $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K(y)) = 0$ then $2 \leq \mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K(y)/K[y,y^{-1}]) \leq 1$, a contradiction). Then

$$\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(T^{-1}\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}(K(y)\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}) \leq \mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(K(y)) \leq 1,$$

and so the projective dimension over the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ of each projective $T^{-1}\mathbb{S}_n$-module does not exceed 1. Using the Ext-long sequence, we deduce that $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(T^{-1}M) \leq \mathrm{pd}_{K(y)\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-1}}(T^{-1}M)+1 \leq (n-1)+1 = n$. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

Corollary 6.7 *Suppose, in addition, that the field K is algebraically closed. Then each T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_n$-module N where $T = K[y]\backslash\{0\}$ is a union $N = \cup_{i\in I}N_i$ of its submodules N_i for some well-ordered set I such that $i \leq j$ implies $N_i \subseteq M_j$, and $N_i/N_{<i} \simeq U_i \otimes N_i'$ for all i where U_i is a simple, T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_1$-module and N_1' is an $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-module.*

Proof. The result follows at once from the fact established in the proof of the Claim above saying that any nonzero sub-factor of a T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_n$-module contains a submodule of the type $U \otimes N'$ for some simple, T-torsion $\mathbb{S}_1$-module U and an $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-module N'. $\square$

Corollary 6.8 $\mathrm{wdim}(\mathbb{S}_n) = n$.

Proof. The result follows from Theorem 6.6 and (28). $\square$

The projective dimensions of simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules. Our aim is to find the projective dimension of each simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module (Theorem 6.11). For, we need the next lemma and corollary.

For each vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in K^n$, consider the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module

$$M_\lambda := \mathbb{S}_n/\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_n(y_i - \lambda_i) \simeq \bigotimes_{I=1}^n \mathbb{S}_1(i)/\mathbb{S}_1(i)(y_i-\lambda_i).$$

Lemma 6.9 *For each element $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in K^{*n}$, the projective dimension of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M_λ is n. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_\lambda, \mathbb{S}_n) \simeq \mathbb{S}_n/\sum_{i=1}^n(y_i-\lambda_i)\mathbb{S}_n \simeq K$.*

Proof. The algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is the bimodule ${}_{\mathbb{S}_n}\mathbb{S}_{n\mathcal{P}}$ where $\mathcal{P} := K[y_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, y_n - \lambda_n]$ is the polynomial subalgebra of $\mathbb{S}_n$ in n variables. The sequence $s = (y_1 - \lambda_1, \ldots, y_n - \lambda_n)$ is a *regular* sequence of the right $\mathcal{P}$-module $\mathbb{S}_n$. The Koszul complex $K(s)$ yields a projective resolution for the right $\mathcal{P}$-module M_λ. The differential of the Koszul complex $K(s)$ is obviously an $\mathbb{S}_n$-homomorphism. Therefore, $K(s)$ is a projective resolution for the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M_λ. Using this resolution it is easy to show that

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_\lambda, \mathbb{S}_n) \simeq \mathbb{S}_n / \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \lambda_i)\mathbb{S}_n \simeq \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_1(i)/(y_i - \lambda_i)\mathbb{S}_1(i).$$

To finish the proof it remains to show that $\mathbb{S}_1(i)/(y_i - \lambda_i)\mathbb{S}_1(i) \simeq K$ since then $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_\lambda) = n$.

By symmetry of indices, it suffices to show that $\mathbb{S}_1/(y - \lambda)\mathbb{S}_1 \simeq K$ for $\lambda \in K^*$. By (8), the linear map $l_{y-\lambda} : F \to F$, $f \mapsto (y - \lambda)f$, is a bijection since $\lambda \neq 0$. The Snake Lemma for the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "l_{y-\lambda}"'] & \mathbb{S}_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "l_{y-\lambda}"'] & L_1 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "l_{y-\lambda}"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & F \arrow[r] & \mathbb{S}_1 \arrow[r] & L_1 \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

gives a vector space isomorphism

$$\mathbb{S}_1/(y - \lambda)\mathbb{S}_1 = \mathrm{coker}_{\mathbb{S}_1}(l_{y-\lambda}) \simeq \mathrm{coker}_{L_1}(l_{y-\lambda}) = L_1/(y - \lambda)L_1 \simeq K,$$

as required. $\square$

Corollary 6.10 *For each element $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in K^n$, the projective dimension of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M_λ is $n(\lambda) := \#\{\lambda_i \mid \lambda_i \neq 0\}$. Moreover, $\mathrm{Ext}^{n(\lambda)}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_\lambda, \mathbb{S}_n) \simeq P_{n-n(\lambda)}$.*

Proof. Let $k = n(\lambda)$. If $k = n$ then the result is Lemma 6.9. So, we can assume that $k \neq n$. Then $M_\lambda \simeq P_{n-k} \otimes M_\mu$ (up to order of the indices) where the vector $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \in K^{*k}$ consists of all the nonzero coordinates of the vector $\lambda \in K^n$. Now, applying $P_{n-k} \otimes -$ to the Koszul complex $K(s')$ where $s' = (y_1 - \mu_1, \ldots, y_k - \mu_k)$ for the $\mathbb{S}_k$-module M_μ (from the proof of Lemma 6.9) we obtain a projective resolution for the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M_λ since P_{n-k} is a projective $\mathbb{S}_{n-k}$-module. Then

$$\mathrm{Ext}^n_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M_\lambda, \mathbb{S}_n) \simeq P_{n-k} \otimes \mathbb{S}_k / \sum_{i=1}^k (y_i - \lambda_i)\mathbb{S}_k \simeq P_{n-k} \otimes K \simeq P_{n-k}.$$

Then the projective dimension of the $\mathbb{S}_n$-module M_λ is k. $\square$

Theorem 6.11 *Let M be a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module, i.e. $M \simeq M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}} = P_{\mathcal{N}} \otimes L_{C\mathcal{N}}/\mathfrak{m}$ (Theorem 3.2). Then $\mathrm{pd}(M) = |C\mathcal{N}|$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the field $K = \overline{K}$ is algebraically closed since $\mathrm{pd}_{\mathbb{S}_n}(M) = \mathrm{pd}_{\overline{K} \otimes \mathbb{S}_n}(\overline{K} \otimes M)$. Then, up to order of the indices, $M \simeq P_{n-k} \otimes (L_k / \sum_{i=1}^k L_k(y_i - \lambda_i))$ for some k and a vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \in K^{*k}$. For each $i = 1, \ldots, k$, $K_{\lambda_i} := L_1(i)/L_1(i)(y_i - \lambda_i)$ is the $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$-module, and so $M \simeq P_{n-k} \otimes K_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)}$ where $K_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)} := \bigotimes_{i=1}^k K_{\lambda_i}$. We have to show that $\mathrm{pd}(M) = k$. We deduce this fact from Corollary 6.10. Let us prove that, for all $s = 0, 1, \ldots, k$,

$$\mathrm{pd}(P_{n-k} \otimes K_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_s)} \otimes M_{(\lambda_{s+1}, \ldots, \lambda_k)}) = k. \tag{33}$$

To prove this fact we use induction on s. The case $s = 0$ is obvious, $\mathrm{pd}(P_{n-k} \otimes M_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)}) = k$ (Corollary 6.10). Suppose that $s > 0$ and that (33) holds for all $s' < s$. Applying $- \otimes P_{n-k} \otimes K_{(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1})} \otimes M_{(\lambda_{s+1}, \ldots, \lambda_k)}$ to the exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_1(s)$-modules (see (31))

$$0 \to K[x_s] \to M_{\lambda_s} \to K_{\lambda_s} \to 0$$

we obtain the short exact sequence of $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\to P_{n-k}\otimes K[x_s]\otimes K_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{s-1})}\otimes M_{(\lambda_{s+1},\ldots,\lambda_k)} \to P_{n-k}\otimes K_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_{s-1})}\otimes M_{(\lambda_s,\ldots,\lambda_k)} \\ &\to P_{n-k}\otimes K_{(\lambda_1,\ldots,\lambda_s)}\otimes M_{(\lambda_{s+1},\ldots,\lambda_k)} \to 0 \end{aligned}$$

which can be written shortly as $0\to X\to Y\to Z\to 0$. Since $\mathrm{pd}(X)\leq k-1$ and $\mathrm{pd}(Y)=k$ (by induction), we have $\mathrm{pd}(Z)=k$. By induction, (33) is true. In particular, $\mathrm{pd}(M)=k$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. $\Box$

Corollary 6.12 *For all simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-modules M,* $\mathrm{GK}\,(M)+\mathrm{pd}(M)=\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n)$.

Proof. Let M be a simple $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. Then $M\simeq M_{\mathcal{N},\mathfrak{m}}$ (Theorem 3.2). By Theorem 3.2.(2,3), $\mathrm{GK}\,(M)=|\mathcal{N}|$. By Theorem 6.11, $\mathrm{pd}(M)=|C\mathcal{N}|$, and so

$$\mathrm{GK}\,(M)+\mathrm{pd}(M)=|\mathcal{N}|+|C\mathcal{N}|=n=\mathrm{l.gldim}(\mathbb{S}_n)\ \ \text{(Theorem 6.6)}.\ \Box$$

Lemma 6.13 *For each non-empty subset $\mathcal{N}$ of the set $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ the intersection $\bigcap_{i\in\mathcal{N}}\mathfrak{p}_i$ is a projective left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. In particular, so are the ideals $\mathfrak{p}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{p}_n$.*

Proof. We use induction on n to prove the result. The case $n=1$ is obvious since F is a projective left and right $\mathbb{S}_1$-module (Proposition 6.1.(2)). Suppose that $n>1$ and the result is true for all $n'<n$. The case $\mathcal{N}=\{1,\ldots,n\}$ was considered already (Proposition 6.1.(2)). Without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{N}=\{1,2,\ldots,m\}$ and $m<n$. Then $\bigcap_{i=1}^m\mathfrak{p}_i=F_m\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-m}$ where F_m is the projective left and right $\mathbb{S}_m$-module, by induction. Then it is obvious that $F_m\otimes\mathbb{S}_{n-m}$ is a projective left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module. $\Box$

By Lemma 6.13, for each number $s=1,\ldots,n$, we have the projective $\mathbb{S}_n$-module

$$I_s:=\bigoplus_{1\leq i_1<\cdots<i_s\leq n}\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{p}_{i_s}.$$

Consider the sequence of $\mathbb{S}_n$-homomorphisms:

$$0\to I_n\overset{d_n}{\to}I_{n-1}\to\cdots\to I_1\overset{d_1}{\to}I_0:=\mathfrak{a}_n\to 0 \tag{34}$$

where, for $s>1$,

$$d_s:\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{p}_{i_s}\to\bigoplus_{t=1}^s\mathfrak{p}_{i_1}\cap\cdots\cap\widehat{\mathfrak{p}_{i_t}}\cap\cdots\cap\mathfrak{p}_{i_s},\ \ a\mapsto((-1)^1a,(-1)^2a,\ldots,(-1)^sa),$$

and $d_1(a_1,\ldots,a_n)=a_1+\cdots+a_n$ where $a_i\in\mathfrak{p}_i$ and the hat over a symbol means that it is missed.

Theorem 6.14 *The sequence (34) is a projective resolution of the left and right $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathfrak{a}_n$.*

Proof. Since $\eta(I_s)=I_s$ and $\eta d_s=d_s\eta$ for all s, it suffices to show that (34) is a projective resolution of the left $\mathbb{S}_n$-module $\mathfrak{a}_n$. By the very definition of the maps d_s, $d_{s-1}d_s=0$ for all s. So, it remains to prove the exactness of the complex (34). We use induction on n. The case $n=2$ is obvious:

$$0\to\mathfrak{p}_1\cap\mathfrak{p}_2\overset{d_2}{\to}\mathfrak{p}_1\oplus\mathfrak{p}_2\overset{d_1}{\to}\mathfrak{p}_1+\mathfrak{p}_2\to 0,\ \ d_2(a)=(-a,a),\ \ d_1(u,v)=u+v.$$

So, let $n>2$, and we assume that the result holds for all $n'<n$.

The idea of the proof is, first, to show the exactness of the complex at $s = 1$, and then the exactness of the complex at $1 < s < n$ is deduced from the case $s = 1$. Note that the complex is exact at $s = n$ (since d_n is an injection). For each s, $I_s = I'_s \oplus I''_s$ where

$$I'_s := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s < n} \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i_s} \ \text{ and } \ I''_s := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s = n} \mathfrak{p}_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{p}_{i_{s-1}} \cap \mathfrak{p}_n.$$

Let us prove the exactness of the complex at I_1. By induction, for $n-1$ the complex (34) is exact at $I_1(n-1)$, i.e. the sequence of $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$-modules

$$I_2(n-1) \overset{d_2(n-1)}{\to} I_1(n-1) \overset{d_1(n-1)}{\to} \mathfrak{p}_1(n-1) + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}(n-1) \tag{35}$$

is exact, i.e. $\ker(d_1(n-1)) = \mathrm{im}(d_2(n-1))$ where '$(n-1)$' everywhere indicates that we consider the complex (34) for $n-1$. By applying $- \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n)$ to the sequence (35) we obtain the exact sequence

$$I'_2 \overset{d'_2}{\to} I'_1 \overset{d'_1}{\to} \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \tag{36}$$

where d'_2 and d'_1 are the restrictions of the maps d_2 and d_1 to I'_2 and I'_1 respectively. The sequence $I_2 \overset{d_2}{\to} I_1 \overset{d_1}{\to} \mathfrak{a}_n$ can be written as follows:

$$I'_2 \oplus I''_2 \overset{\begin{pmatrix} d'_2 & * \\ 0 & d''_2 \end{pmatrix}}{\longrightarrow} I'_1 \oplus \mathfrak{p}_n \overset{\begin{pmatrix} d'_1 & \mathrm{id} \end{pmatrix}}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n$$

where $I''_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathfrak{p}_i \cap \mathfrak{p}_n$, $d''_2(a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}) = -(a_1 + \cdots + a_{n-1})$, $I'_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}$. If an element $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in I_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{p}_n$ belongs to $\ker(d_1)$, then

$$a_n = -a_1 - \cdots - a_{n-1} \in (\mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}) \cap \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathfrak{p}_1 \cap \mathfrak{p}_n + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{n-1} \cap \mathfrak{p}_n = \mathrm{im}(d''_2).$$

and so $a_n = d''_2(b)$ for some element $b \in I''_2$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $a_n = 0$, i.e. $a \in I'_1$ and $a \in \ker(d'_1)$. Since the sequence (36) is exact, we must have $a \in \mathrm{im}(d_2)$, and so the sequence (34) is exact at I_1.

Now we use the (second) induction on s to prove the exactness of the sequence (34) at I_s. The case $s = 1$ has been just considered. So, let $s \geq 2$ and $s \neq n$, and we assume that the complex (34) is exact at $I_{s'}$ for all $s' = 1, 2, \ldots, s-1$. The sequence $I_{s+1} \overset{d_{s+1}}{\to} I_s \overset{d_s}{\to} I_{s-1}$ can be written as

$$I'_{s+1} \oplus I''_{s+1} \overset{\begin{pmatrix} d'_{s+1} & * \\ 0 & d''_{s+1} \end{pmatrix}}{\longrightarrow} I'_s \oplus I''_s \overset{\begin{pmatrix} d'_s & * \\ 0 & d''_s \end{pmatrix}}{\longrightarrow} I'_{s-1} \oplus I''_{s-1}$$

where the maps d'_{s+1} and d'_s are the restrictions of the maps d_{s+1} and d_s to I'_{s+1} and I'_s respectively. To prove the exactness at I_s it suffices to prove the exactness of two sequences $I'_{s+1} \overset{d'_{s+1}}{\to} I'_s \overset{d'_s}{\to} I'_{s-1}$ and $I''_{s+1} \overset{d''_{s+1}}{\to} I''_s \overset{d''_s}{\to} I''_{s-1}$. By induction on n, the sequence

$$I_{s+1}(n-1) \overset{d_{s+1}(n-1)}{\to} I_s(n-1) \overset{d_s(n-1)}{\to} I_{s-1}(n-1)$$

is exact. By applying $- \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n)$ to this sequence we obtain the *exact* sequence $I'_{s+1} \overset{d'_{s+1}}{\to} I'_s \overset{d'_s}{\to} I'_{s-1}$.

If $s = 2$ then the sequence $I''_3 \overset{d''_3}{\to} I''_2 \overset{d''_2}{\to} I''_1$ is exact as it can be obtained by applying the exact functor $\mathfrak{p}_n \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_n} -$ to the exact sequence $I'_2 \overset{d'_2}{\to} I'_1 \overset{d'_1}{\to} \mathbb{S}_n$ (see (36)).

By induction on s, the sequence $I_s \overset{d_s}{\to} I_{s-1} \overset{d_{s-1}}{\to} I_{s-2}$ is exact. If $s > 2$ then applying the exact functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_1(n)} F(n)$ to this sequence we obtain the *exact* sequence $I''_{s+1} \overset{d''_{s+1}}{\to} I''_s \overset{d''_s}{\to} I''_{s-1}$. The functor $- \otimes_{\mathbb{S}_1(n)} F(n)$ is exact since the $\mathbb{S}_1(n)$-module $F(n)$ is projective. The proof of the theorem is complete. $\square$

7 Idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$

In this section, all the idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ are found (Theorem 7.1). It is proved that each idempotent ideal distinct from $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a unique product and a unique intersection of incomparable idempotent prime ideals (Theorem 7.2). The intersection of idempotent ideals is always equal to their product (Corollary 7.4.(3)). The set $\mathbb{I}_n$ of all the idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is a distributive lattice (Corollary 7.5).

Let $\mathcal{B}_n$ be the set of all functions $f : \{1, 2, \ldots, n\} \to \mathbb{F}_2 := \{0, 1\}$ where $\mathbb{F}_2 := \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ is a field. $\mathcal{B}_n$ is a commutative ring with respect to addition and multiplication of functions. For $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n$, we write $f \geq g$ iff $f(i) \geq g(i)$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$ where $1 > 0$. Then $(\mathcal{B}_n, \geq)$ is a partially ordered set. For each function $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, I_f denotes the ideal $I_{f(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{f(n)}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ which is the tensor product of the ideals $I_{f(i)}$ of the tensor components $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$ in $\mathbb{S}_n = \mathbb{S}_1(1) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{S}_1(n)$ where $I_0 := F$ and $I_1 := \mathbb{S}_1$. In particular, $I_{(0,\ldots,0)} = F_n$ and $I_{(1,\ldots,1)} = \mathbb{S}_n$. $f \geq g$ iff $I_f \supseteq I_g$. For $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n$, $I_f I_g = I_f \cap I_g = I_{fg}$. Using induction on the number of functions we see that, for $f_1, \ldots, f_s \in \mathcal{B}_n$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s} I_{f_i} = \bigcap_{i=1}^{s} I_{f_i} = I_{f_1 \cdots f_s}.$$

Let $\mathcal{C}_n$ be the set of all subsets of $\mathcal{B}_n$ all distinct elements of which are incomparable (two distinct elements f and g of $\mathcal{B}_n$ are *incomparable* iff $f \not\leq g$ and $g \not\leq f$). For each $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$, let $I_C := \sum_{f \in C} I_f$, the ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$.

Let Sub_n be the set of all subsets of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. Sub_n is a partially ordered set with respect to '$\subseteq$'. For each $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, the subset $\mathrm{supp}(f) := \{i \,|\, f(i) = 1\}$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is called the *support* of f. The map $\mathcal{B}_n \to \mathrm{Sub}_n$, $f \mapsto \mathrm{supp}(f)$, is an isomorphism of posets. Let SSub_n be the set of all subsets of Sub_n. An element $\{X_1, \ldots, X_s\}$ of SSub_n is called an *antichain* if for all $i \neq j$ such that $1 \leq i, j \leq s$ neither $X_i \subseteq X_j$ nor $X_i \supseteq X_j$. An empty set and one element set are called antichains by definition. Let Inc_n be the subset of SSub_n of all antichains of SSub_n. Then the map

$$\mathcal{C}_n \to \mathrm{Inc}_n, \;\; \{f_1, \ldots, f_s\} \mapsto \{\mathrm{supp}(f_1), \ldots, \mathrm{supp}(f_s)\}, \tag{37}$$

is a bijection.

Definition. The number $\mathfrak{d}_n := |\mathrm{Inc}_n|$ is called the *Dedekind* number.

The Dedekind numbers appeared in the paper of Dedekind [6]. An asymptotic of the Dedekind numbers was found by Korshunov [11].

Let $\mathbb{I}_n$ be the set of all the idempotent ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$. The next theorem classifies all such ideals and gives a canonical presentation for each of them.

Theorem 7.1 *1. The map $\mathcal{C}_n \to \mathbb{I}_n$, $C \mapsto I_C := \sum_{f \in C} I_f$, is a bijection where $I_\emptyset := 0$.*

2. *The set $\mathbb{I}_n$ is finite. Moreover, $|\mathbb{I}_n| = \mathfrak{d}_n$ is the Dedekind number which has the following asymptotic when $n \to \infty$, [11]:*

$$\mathfrak{d}_n \sim \begin{cases} 2^{\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{\binom{n}{\frac{n}{2}-1}(2^{-\frac{n}{2}} + n^2 2^{-n-5} - n 2^{-n-4})} & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ 2 \cdot 2^{\binom{n}{\frac{n-1}{2}}} e^{\frac{n(n-3)}{2}(2^{-\frac{n+3}{2}} + n^2 2^{-n-6}) + \frac{n(n-1)}{2}(2^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} + n^2 2^{-n-4})} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

3. *$\eta(I) = I$ for all idempotent ideals I of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$.*

Proof. 1. The map $C \mapsto I_C$ is well defined since

$$I^2 = (\sum_{f \in C} I_f)^2 = \sum_{f \in C} I_f^2 + \sum_{f \neq g} I_f I_g = \sum_{f \in C} I_f + \sum_{f \neq g} I_f I_g = \sum_{f \in C} I_f = I.$$

Choose a basis for the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n = \otimes_{i=1}^n \mathbb{S}_1(i)$ which is the tensor product of bases of the tensor components $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$, and each basis for $\mathbb{S}_1(i)$ is an extension of a basis for its subspace $F(i) \subseteq \mathbb{S}_1(i)$. Then it is obvious that the map $C \mapsto I_C$ is injective.

Clearly, the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ is an idempotent ideal. So, it remains to show that if I is an idempotent ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $I \neq \mathbb{S}_n$ then $I = I_C$ for some C.

First, let us show that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$. The image $\overline{I}$ of the ideal I under the epimorphisms $\mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{S}_n/\mathfrak{a}_n \simeq L_n$ is an idempotent ideal, hence either $\overline{I} = 0$ or $\overline{I} = L_n$. The second case is impossible, otherwise we would have $I + \mathfrak{a}_n = \mathbb{S}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a maximal ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$ that contains I (it exists since $I \neq \mathbb{S}_n$). Then $\mathbb{S}_n = I + \mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ (Corollary 4.7), a contradiction. Therefore, $I \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n$.

Now, the result is obvious for $n = 1$ (Proposition 2.5.(3)). So, let $n > 1$ and we assume that the result is true for all $n' < n$. Multiplying the chain of inclusions $I \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq \mathbb{S}_n$ on the left by the ideal I we have $I = I^2 \subseteq I\mathfrak{a}_n \subseteq I\mathbb{S}_n = I$, and so

$$I = I\mathfrak{a}_n = I(\mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_n) = I\mathfrak{p}_1 + \cdots + I\mathfrak{p}_n.$$

By Lemma 2.6.(1), $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 = I \cap (F \otimes \mathbb{S}_{n-1}) = F \otimes I_{n-1}$ for an ideal I_{n-1} of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. Clearly,

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 I \subseteq I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 \subseteq F \otimes I_{n-1} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}_1 I,$$

and so $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 = \mathfrak{p}_1 I$. By symmetry, $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_i = \mathfrak{p}_i I$ for all i. Now, $I = \sum_{i=1}^n I\mathfrak{p}_i = \sum_{i=1}^n I \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$. To finish the proof it suffices to show that each ideal $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$ has the form I_{C_i} for some C_i. By symmetry, it suffices to prove this for $i = 1$. Recall that the ideals of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute (Theorem 2.8). On the one hand, $(I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1)^2 = (I\mathfrak{p}_1)^2 = I^2\mathfrak{p}_1^2 = I\mathfrak{p}_1 = I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1 = F \otimes I_{n-1}$. On the other hand, $(I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1)^2 = (F \otimes I_{n-1})^2 = F^2 \otimes I_{n-1}^2 = F \otimes I_{n-1}^2$. Therefore, I_{n-1} is an idempotent ideal of the algebra $\mathbb{S}_{n-1}$. By induction, the ideal I_{n-1} has the required form, and so the ideal $I \cap \mathfrak{p}_1$ has the form I_{C_1}. This finishes the proof of statement 1.

2. Statement 2 follows from statement 1 and (37).

3. By statement 1, $I = I_C$ for some S. Then $\eta(I_C) = \eta(\sum_{f\in C} I_f) = \sum_{f\in C} \eta(I_f) = \sum_{f\in C} I_f = I_C$ since $\eta(I_f) = I_f$ for all f. $\square$

$(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{S}_n), \subseteq)$ is a poset. Two primes $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ are called *incomparable* if neither $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ nor $\mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{q}$.

For each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$, let $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ be the set of all minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$. The set $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a non-empty finite set (Theorem 4.12) and each element of $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is an *idempotent*, prime ideal (Theorem 7.2). The proof of Theorem 7.2 provides a direct, short proof of the fact that the set $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is finite and non-empty for an each *idempotent* ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$.

For each $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, the set $\mathrm{csupp}(f) := \{i \,|\, f(i) = 0\}$ is called the *co-support* of f. Clearly, $\mathrm{csupp}(f) = \{1, \ldots, n\} \backslash \mathrm{supp}(f)$.

Theorem 7.2 *1. Each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$ is a unique product of incomparable idempotent primes, i.e. if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{r}_t$ are two such products then $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.*

2. Each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$ is a unique intersection of incomparable idempotent primes, i.e. if $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_t$ are two such intersections then $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

3. For each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$, the sets of incomparable idempotent primes in statements 1 and 2 are the same, and so $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$.

4. The ideals $\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s$ in statement 3 are the minimal primes of $\mathfrak{a}$, and so $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p}$. In particular, each element of $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is an idempotent prime ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$.

Proof. 1. For each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ such that $\mathfrak{a} \neq \mathbb{S}_n$, we have to prove that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a product of incomparable idempotent primes and that this product is unique. Since the ring $\mathbb{S}_n$ is prime these two statements are obvious when $\mathfrak{a} = 0$. So, let $\mathfrak{a} \neq 0$.

Existence: Let $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$; then $I_f = \prod_{i\in \mathrm{csupp}(f)} \mathfrak{p}_i$. Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be any idempotent ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$. Since $\mathfrak{b}^2 = \mathfrak{b}$, it follows at once that

$$I_f + \mathfrak{b} = \prod_{i\in \mathrm{csupp}(f)} (\mathfrak{p}_i + \mathfrak{b}). \tag{38}$$

By Theorem 7.1.(1), $\mathfrak{a} = I_{f_1} + \cdots + I_{f_s}$ for some $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$. Repeating s times (38), we see that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i_1\in \mathrm{csupp}(f_1),\ldots,i_s\in \mathrm{csupp}(f_s)} (\mathfrak{p}_{i_1} + \cdots + \mathfrak{p}_{i_s}) \tag{39}$$

is the product of idempotent primes, by Corollary 4.8.(1,2). It follows that $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a non-empty finite set each element of which is an idempotent prime ideal. Note that the ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ commute, and if $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ is an inclusion of idempotent primes then $\mathfrak{p}\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$ (Corollary 4.8.(4)). Using these facts and (39), we see that $\mathfrak{a}$ is a product of *incomparable* idempotent primes.

Uniqueness follows from the next lemma which will be used several times in the proof of this theorem.

Lemma 7.3 *Let $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{r}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_t\}$ be two sets of incomparable ideals of a ring such that each ideal from the first set contains an ideal from the second and each ideal from the second set contains an ideal from the first. Then $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.*

Proof of Lemma 7.3. For each $\mathfrak{q}_i$, there are ideals $\mathfrak{r}_j$ and $\mathfrak{r}_k$ such that $\mathfrak{r}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{q}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{r}_k$, hence $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{r}_j = \mathfrak{r}_k$ since the ideals $\mathfrak{r}_j$ and $\mathfrak{r}_k$ are incomparable if distinct. This proves that for each ideal $\mathfrak{q}_i$ there exists a unique ideal, say $\mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(i)}$, such that $\mathfrak{q}_i = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(i)}$. By symmetry, for each ideal $\mathfrak{r}_j$ there exists a unique ideal, say $\mathfrak{q}_{\tau(j)}$, such that $\mathfrak{r}_j = \mathfrak{q}_{\tau(j)}$. Then, $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for the permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. $\square$

Uniqueness: Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{r}_t$ be two products of incomparable idempotent primes. Each ideal $\mathfrak{q}_i$ contains an ideal $\mathfrak{r}_j$, and each ideal $\mathfrak{r}_k$ contains an ideal $\mathfrak{q}_l$. By Lemma 7.3, $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, s\}$.

2. *Uniqueness*: Suppose that an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ has two presentations $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_t$ of incomparable idempotent primes. The sets $\{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ and $\{\mathfrak{r}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_t\}$ of incomparable idempotent primes satisfy the conditions of Lemma 7.3, and so uniqueness follows.

Existence: Let $\mathbb{I}'$ be the set of idempotent ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ that are intersection of incomparable idempotent primes. Then $\mathbb{I}' \subseteq \mathbb{I}_n$. The map

$$\mathbb{I}_n \to \mathbb{I}', \;\; \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s \mapsto \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s,$$

is a bijection since $|\mathbb{I}_n| < \infty$ and by uniqueness of presentations $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s$ (statement 1) and $\mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$ (see above) where $\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s$ are incomparable idempotent primes. Then $\mathbb{I}_n = \mathbb{I}'$. This proves that each idempotent ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $\mathbb{S}_n$ is an intersection of incomparable idempotent primes.

3. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be an idempotent ideal of $\mathbb{S}_n$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{r}_t$ where $S := \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ and $T := \{\mathfrak{r}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_t\}$ are sets of incomparable idempotent primes. The sets S and T satisfy the conditions of Lemma 7.3, and so $s = t$ and $\mathfrak{q}_1 = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{r}_{\sigma(s)}$ for a permutation σ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$. This means that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$.

4. Let $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_s$ be as in statement 3 and let $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{r}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_t\}$ be the set of minimal primes over $\mathfrak{a}$. Then $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq S := \{\mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots \mathfrak{q}_s\}$ ($\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{q}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{q}_s \subseteq \mathfrak{r}_i$ implies $\mathfrak{q}_j \subseteq \mathfrak{r}_i$ for some j, and so $\mathfrak{q}_j = \mathfrak{r}_i$ by the minimality of $\mathfrak{r}_i$). Up to order, let $\mathfrak{r}_1 = \mathfrak{q}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{r}_t = \mathfrak{q}_t$. It remains to show that $t = s$. Suppose that $t < s$, we seek a contradiction. This means that each idempotent prime $\mathfrak{q}_i$, $i = t + 1, \ldots, s$, contains $\mathfrak{a}$ and is *not* a minimal prime over $\mathfrak{a}$. Hence, $\mathfrak{q}_i$ contains a minimal idempotent prime, say $\mathfrak{q}_{\tau(i)}$, a contradiction (the ideals $\mathfrak{q}_i$ and $\mathfrak{q}_{\tau(i)}$ are incomparable). $\square$

Corollary 7.4 *Let $\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{b}$ be idempotent ideals of $\mathbb{S}_n$ distinct from $\mathbb{S}_n$ in statement 1, 2 and 5. Then*

1. $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{b}$ *iff* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$.

2. $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) =$ *the set of minimal elements (with respect to inclusion) of the set* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$.

3. $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$.

4. *If* $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ *then* $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$.

5. $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ *iff* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \leqslant \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$ *(the* $\leqslant$ *means that and each* $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$ *contains some* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$*).*

Proof. 1. Statement 1 is obvious due to Theorem 7.2.(4).

2. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be the set of minimal elements of the union $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \cup \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$. The elements of $\mathcal{M}$ are incomparable, and (by Theorem 7.2.(4))

$$\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \cap \cap_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})}\mathfrak{q} = \cap_{\mathfrak{r}\in\mathcal{M}}\mathfrak{r}.$$

By Theorem 7.2.(2), $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \mathcal{M}$. By Corollary 4.8.(4),

$$\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} \cdot \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{q} = \prod_{\mathfrak{r}\in\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}.$$

3. The result is obvious if one of the ideals is equal to $\mathbb{S}_n$. So, let the ideals are distinct from $\mathbb{S}_n$. By statement 2, $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b}) = \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b})$, then, by statement 1, $\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$.

4. If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ then, by statement 3, $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}$.

5. ($\Rightarrow$) If $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$ then $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \leqslant \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$ since $\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})} \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})} \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{b}$.

($\Leftarrow$) Suppose that $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \leqslant \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$. For each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})$, let $S(\mathfrak{q})$ be the set (necessarily non-empty) of $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) \supseteq S := \cup_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})}S(\mathfrak{q})$ and

$$\mathfrak{a} = \cap_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a})}\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \cap_{\mathfrak{p}\in S}\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \cap_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{b})}\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{b}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 7.5 *The lattice* $\mathbb{I}_n$ *of idempotent ideals of the algebra* $\mathbb{S}_n$ *is distributive, i.e.* $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}$ *for all ideals* $\mathfrak{a}$*,* $\mathfrak{b}$*, and* $\mathfrak{c}$*.*

Proof. By Corollary 7.4.(3), $(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{c} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c} = (\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{c}) \cap (\mathfrak{b} \cap \mathfrak{c}) = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{c} \cap \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}$. $\square$

Theorem 7.6 *Let* $\mathfrak{a}$ *be an idempotent ideal of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*, and* $\mathcal{M}$ *be the set of minimal elements with respect to inclusion of the set of minimal primes of idempotent ideals* $\mathfrak{a}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{a}_k$ *of* $\mathbb{S}_n$*. Then*

1. $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_k$ *iff* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{M}$.

2. $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{a}_k$ *iff* $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. By Corollary 7.4.(3), it suffices to prove, say, the first statement.

($\Rightarrow$) Suppose that $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_k$ then, by Theorem 7.2.(4) and Corollary 7.4.(4),

$$\mathfrak{a} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} \prod_{\mathfrak{q}_{ij}\in\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}_i)} \mathfrak{q}_{ij} = \prod_{\mathfrak{q}\in\mathcal{M}} \mathfrak{q},$$

and so $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{M}$, by Theorem 7.2.(4).

($\Leftarrow$) If $\mathrm{Min}(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathcal{M}$ then, by Corollary 7.4.(4), $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{a}_k$. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank the referee for comments.

References

[1] M. Auslander, On the dimension of modules and algebras (3). Global dimension, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **9** (1955), 67–77.

[2] V. V. Bavula, The Jacobian algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **213** (2009), 664-685; (Arxiv:math.RA/0704.3850).

[3] V. V. Bavula, The inversion formula for automorphisms of the Weyl algebras and polynomial algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, **210** (2007), 147-159; arXiv:math.RA/0512215.

[4] V. V. Bavula, Dimension, multiplicity, holonomic modules, and an analogue of the inequality of Bernstein for rings of differential operators in prime characteristic, *Representation Theory*, **13** (2009), 182-227; arXiv:math/0605073.

[5] G. Benkart and T. Roby, Down-up algebras, *J. Algebra*, **209** (1998), 305-344.

[6] R. Dedekind, Über Zerlegungen von Zahlen durch ihre grössten gemeinsammen Teiler, Gesammelte Werke, Bd. **1** (1897) 103-148.

[7] N. Jacobson, "Structure of rings," Am. Math. Soc. Colloq., Vol. XXXVII (rev. ed.), Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968.

[8] D. A. Jordan, Down-up algebras and ambiskew polynomial rings, *J. Algebra*, **228** (2000), no. 1, 311–346.

[9] E. Kirkman and J. Kuzmanovich, Non-Noetherian Down-Up Algebras, *Comm. Algebra*, **28** (2000), no. 11, 5255–5268.

[10] E. Kirkman, I. M. Musson and D. S. Passman, Noetherian down-up algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127** (1999), 3161-3167.

[11] A. D. Korshunov, Solution of Dedekind's problem on the number of monotone Boolean functions. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **233** (1977), no. 4, 543–546.

Department of Pure Mathematics
University of Sheffield
Hicks Building
Sheffield S3 7RH
UK
email: v.bavula@sheffield.ac.uk